REASON VERSUS THE FAUSTIAN WEST

By A. J. Reffes

Progress Publishers

For Kathy, friend, mentor and wife. *Stupor Mundi.*

Copyright © 2025 by A. J. Reffes. All rights reserved. Published by Progress Publishers, Berlin, Germany.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION 3
NIGHTMARE IN HIGHER EDUCATION 4
THE INSANE CINEMA OF INGMAR BERGMAN 6
REDS BURY ANTHROPOLOGY 8
PHILOSOPHY VANISHES IN THE TWENTY FIRST CENTURY 11
REASON VERSUS THE FAUSTIAN WEST 13
THE RED HOUSE OF SOCIOLOGY 15
GERMANY TODAY: ONE KARL MARX STREET 18
MASS ILLNESS LEFT AND RIGHT 20
RED HAMMERS SMASH MUSEUMS 23
OBAMA ORDERS COUP AGAINST TRUMP 25
RED FLAGS ON EU FARMS 27
UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC, COMMUNIST ORGANIZATION 29
THE LEAGUE OF NATIONS AND THE UNITED NATIONS: A STUDY IN CONTRASTS 32
THE EMPTY SPACES OF FEDERICO FELLINI 34
AMERICA-ROME-WELFARE 37
REDS BURN DOWN THE CAMPUS 39
GERMANY'S MARXIST-MUSLIM PACT OF STEEL 41
MUSLIMS BOMB VIENNA 44
BRITAIN VANISHES 46
MARXIST-MUSLIM AXIS RECOGNIZES PALESTINE 48

INTRODUCTION

These reports are intended for a general, nonspecialist audience. They run the gamut of the arts and sciences, historical and current events, and should provide the reader with useful introductions to given topics. May they encourage a few happy hours of suggestive thought!

NIGHTMARE IN HIGHER EDUCATION

Secularism is the principle of conducting human affairs based on reason and rejecting religion. It seeks to interpret life and grasp the world in accordance with natural principles. Secularism was the reigning philosophy of the Greco-Roman world, whose prime symbol was Apollo, symbol of reason, knowledge, healing, flourishing, the arts, static noonday perfection, and the light of the sun, which it brought across the sky every morning. Secularism was rejected in the dying stages of ancient Rome, when Emperor Constantine issued the Edict of Milan in 313 AD, which legalized and promoted Christianity, and when Emperor Theodosius issued the Edict of Thessalonica in 380 AD, which declared Christianity to be the official state religion, and forced all Roman citizens to profess the faith of the Bishop of Rome. Secularism was rejected in the new culture of the West which emerged in Europe centuries after Classical culture had been reduced to fields of ruination. It was rejected during the first age of the West, rejected during the Christian Dark Ages, which demanded adherence to the Bible in place of rational, evidence based belief. The Renaissance, Scientific Revolution and Enlightenment of the fourteenth, fifteenth, sixteenth, seventeenth and eighteenth centuries saw a return to secularism and the rise of modern science. In the twenty first century, the West has descended into a Rome style stage of moral and intellectual decline, and resurrected its founding Dark Ages. This darkness began gathering at the dawn of the twentieth century, and in the new millennium, the crescendo has reached a climax in the establishment of an official state religion. The name of the religion has changed from the infamous days of the Christian Dark Ages, but the new faith is marked by the same hatred of reason and has the same gutter character. The new religion is a modern version of Christianity and peddles its gutter character under new flags, new colors and new books. The new religion has replaced the Christian Bible with the *Communist Manifesto*, but its gutter is the same old Christian gutter flowing with the envious, the haters, the discontented and the unbalanced. In place of Jesus, its founder and prophet is Karl Marx, and this prophet of the new Dark Ages declared war on the Enlightenment just as his Christian predecessors had declared war on the Apollonian worldview of the Greeks and Romans. In the present dying stages of Western civilization, the new Marxist Dark Ages has seized control over higher education and transformed it into a house organ of the Marxist political and cultural establishment. In the present dying stages of Western civilization, a Marxist gender, race and class monster roams college campuses, occupies classrooms and offices, and has lowered the curtain on the liberal curriculum. Listen to the twenty first century edition of the *Communist Manifesto*. It proclaims that a progressive university prohibits anthropologists from scientifically investigating Native American graves and bones because such investigations disturb the mystical sanctity of the people's land, soil and ancestors. A progressive university fires professors opposed to black racism peddled in the name of race equity. A progressive university fires professors who use politically incorrect terms in neutral instructional contexts, words such as *nigger*. A progressive university fires professors who advocate spaces for free speech and oppose spaces for ideological lockstep. A progressive university fires professors who oppose Marxism and wokism, and defend liberal democracy and the Enlightenment, on the grounds that they are the voices of white supremacy. A progressive university sanctions violence against Jewish students on the grounds that they represent the only free society in the Middle East, Israel, and represent Western liberal Enlightenment values. A progressive university fires teachers, scientists and researchers who do not adhere to the latest additions to the Marxist party line. A progressive university fires professors who are opposed to race and gender discrimination in admissions and hiring, opposed to discrimination against white males peddled in the name of diversity, equity and inclusion. A progressive university fires professors opposed to the formation of an office of stormtroopers and jackboots enforcing Marxist diversity, equity and inclusion policies. A progressive university fires professors opposed to the imposition of radical ideologies in the

classroom and on students' speech. A progressive university fires professors opposed to the religion of transgenderism, which says that human sex chromosomes do not exist and human gender is determined by human choice, whim and self identification. A progressive university fires professors who refuse to call biological women biological women and choose to call them "persons with vaginas." A progressive university fires professors who recognize the biological reality of sex and refuse to teach the Marxist party line that sex is an arbitrarily assigned, mutable characteristic. A progressive university fires professors who refuse to teach that capitalism is the iron heel of systemic racism and patriarchy. A progressive university is a violent, round the clock, anticapitalist, antisemitic, proPalestinian demonstration. A progressive university fires professors who refuse to teach Marxist race studies, gender studies, and antiWestern, antifreedom, anticolonial studies, in place of liberal, humanist, science based studies. A progressive university fires professors who oppose the Marxist-Muslim axis of violence and terror sweeping across the West, shutting down free speech and free institutions: "Have we heard one single scientist of this fine enthusiasm called *Stand Up for Science*—an organized movement of scientific communities responding to *perceived* threats to scientific research under the Trump administration—rebel:

- When Elizabeth Weiss was banned from her laboratory and the anthropological collections she studied because she posed with a Native American skull in her hands?
- When Bret Weinstein was violently booed by students on the Evergreen campus and was forced to resign, along with his colleague and wife Heather Heying?
- When Verushka Duval was suspended by the University of Ottawa for uttering the taboo *nigger* word, that one version ending in *a*.
- When Nicholas and Erika Christakis were driven to resign by excited and intolerant students who accused them—among other vague accusations—of wanting to create an intellectual space at Yale instead of a safe space?
- When Richard Bilkszto, a college principal at the Toronto Academy, was driven to suicide after a woke 'antiracism' fury persistently called him a white supremacist and reported him to the authorities, leading to his firing?
- When, on the Columbia campus and some others, antisemitic students morally harassed and physically brutalized Jewish students?

All these are facts, and there are people behind these facts. Scientists, researchers, and honorable teachers whose careers have been broken, even destroyed. Here are a few, but everyone can find others. And let’s not talk about similar facts that took place in France, where a teacher-researcher, to take just one example, was sentenced to two years of suspension for similar facts, a not isolated case that was not vigorously denounced. This is the selective indignation of our colleagues from *Stand Up for Science*. There is something biased and partial about it, and that is why a number of us refuse to join it. Our mobilized scientists ignore the many militant excesses that have been highlighted, notably by the *Observatory*. What is the relationship between science and diversity, equity and inclusion (DEI) programs, which have been enthusiastically developed in many universities? Is it serious to confuse the cessation of these programs with a suspension of research funding? DEI operations have nothing to do with science, and their suppression is a matter of sound management when we see the incredible sums that were devoted to them, to the point that the *New York Times* itself was alarmed by this. The University of Michigan campus in Ann Arbor had recruited a total of 16 nonfaculty employees, more than twice the number of faculty members. The National Science Foundation, in the physical sciences, focused nearly 10 percent of its grants on DEI, totaling $675 million in funding. French scientists are protesting when certain words are banned on condition of a university receiving public funding. They

forget that language has become a battlefield. For several years now, political correctness has been present on American campuses, where language is shamelessly exploited to impose new ideologies, particularly with a strange use of pronouns. A coded vocabulary has been put in place, particularly on the basis of gender theory. It is therefore not surprising that expressions such as 'person with a vagina' to designate a woman or 'sex assigned at birth' instead of biological sex are now on the acceptable expressions list of American academic institutions. Is the more obscurantist the one who opposes these expressions or the one who endorses them? The same problem arises in France. Too many colleagues have allowed ideologies that have nothing to do with science to run rampant, when they have not outright supported and encouraged them." (*Stand Up For Science? Try Standing Up For Scientists Shunned For Thinking Freely* by Vincent Tournier and Jacques Robert). Thousands were dismissed from their jobs and sentenced to death as enemies of the state. Their crime was challenging the reigning religion of their nation. This was the religion of Marxism and its doctrine of dialectical materialism. This doctrine says that human genes do not exist because nothing is stable, intrinsic or innate in the whirling flux called material reality. The nation was the Soviet Union and the time was the rule of Joseph Stalin. The leader of the movement denying the existence of genes was Trofim Lysenko. Enforced by Stalin, Lysenkoism was a religion whose calamitous tenets killed agriculture in the Soviet Union and Maoist China, and produced famines killing millions of people. In the twenty first century across the West, the Lysenkoists are back in business. Marching under the banners of gender, race and class politics, they have brought down a new Dark Ages.

THE INSANE CINEMA OF INGMAR BERGMAN

He is considered one of the greatest film directors of all time. Among his acclaimed works are *The Seventh Seal*, *Through A Glass Darkly*, *Winter Light*, *The Silence*, *Wild Strawberries*, *Persona*, *Fanny and Alexander* and *Cries and Whispers*. His films are in fact cinematic reflections of the spirit of the times and the voices of civilizational collapse. They are records of an explosion which occurred in philosophy in the twentieth century. This explosion was a long time in the making and the fuse had been lit two centuries before by the most influential philosopher in modern times. When Immanuel Kant proclaimed in his *Critique Of Pure Reason* that "I have found it necessary to deny knowledge in order to make room for faith," when he proclaimed that human perception is the perception of nothing, that man is blind because he sees and is blind because he has eyes, and that socalled physical objects are nothing more than universal, collective, human delusions, he set the stage for a movement of psychosis without precedent in Classical or Western European history. His name was Immanuel Kant, a philosopher who lived during the Enlightenment but declared war on its fundamental tenets affirming the existence of physical objects, a philosopher leading a countermovment who sought to take the West back to its Faustian, antiEnlightenment roots in pure subjectivism. Kant's philosophic bomb would explode in the twentieth century with advent of philosophic madness. This madness was the philosophy of existentialism. Its exponents include Martin Heidegger, Jean Paul Sartre, Karl Jaspers and Albert Camus. Listen to its neoKantian tenets and hear the sounds of psychosis blast out in ear splitting decibels. Existence is without essence or determination. Existence is nonabsolute and everchanging. Existence is nothingness. Existence is *Dasein*, subjective human being and subjective human time. Existence is arbitrary, irrational human freedom. Existence is human will and human decision exercised as categorical imperatives, as imperatives which must be obeyed come what may and without regard to consequences, and obeyed as the highest form of human heroism and authenticity. Existence is anxiety, alienation, absurdity and meaninglessness. This psychosis was projected onto the silver screen in the films of Ingmar Bergman. His works comprise the greatest cinematic record of existentialism and are acclaimed by the Western cultural establishment precisely by virtue of their celebration of psychosis.

Look at his productions and see full length feature films with all star ensembles serve as establishment house organs and communicate a state of late Western, Kantian inspired, philosophic collapse. **Psychosis.** Ingmar Bergman's films proclaim that existence is Death. It is a game of chess with the Devil. It is endless doubt and skepticism. It is an incoherent human condition. It is evil and witchcraft. It is a wasted life. It is a crusade of violence and destruction. It is a world attacked by plague, fever and delirium. It is a state of flux, transience and meaninglessness. It is the philosophy and the spirituality of ruthless predatory animals: "*The Seventh Seal*, directed by Ingmar Bergman, is a seminal film that explores profound existential themes through the story of a knight, Antonius Block, returning home from the Crusades. Upon his return, he encounters Death and challenges him to a game of chess, hoping to delay his fate. This iconic imagery of a knight playing chess with Death reflects the film's central exploration of mortality and the human quest for meaning in the face of inevitable death. As Block journeys with his skeptical squire, Jons, they encounter various characters, including a troupe of actors, who each contribute to the film's rich tapestry of reflections on faith, doubt, and the human condition. The narrative juxtaposes the knight's quest for answers about God and the meaning of life with the stark realities of a plague ridden world. Key moments include Block's compassionate act of relieving an accused witch's pain and his conversations about faith and existence, culminating in a poignant meditation on the search for meaning amidst silence and despair. The film concludes with a haunting vision of characters dancing with Death, encapsulating the inevitability of mortality and the question of whether any meaning can be found in the transient moments of life. *The Seventh Seal* is not only a cinematic masterpiece but also a profound philosophical inquiry into the nature of existence, making it a significant work for those interested in the intersections of film, philosophy, and spirituality." (*Overview Of The Seventh Seal* by Ingmar Bergman by Jack Barbera). **Psychosis.** Ingmar Berman's films proclaim that existence is a state of ignorance. It is pure, unending darkness. It is a state of death and horror. It is absolute space and nothingness. It is the antithesis of reason and common sense. It is senseless violence and abject terror. It is a state of moral and intellectual anarchy in which the arbitrary will of man has replaced the arbitrary will of God: "*Antonius Block*: I want knowledge! Not faith, not assumptions, but knowledge. I want God to stretch out His hand, uncover His face and speak to me. *Death*: But He remains silent. *Antonius Block*: I call out to Him in the darkness. But it's as if no one was there. *Death*: Perhaps there isn't anyone. *Antonius Block*: Then life is a preposterous horror. No man can live faced with Death, knowing everything's nothingness. *Death*: Most people think neither of death nor nothingness. *Antonius Block*: But one day you stand at the edge of life and face darkness. *Death*: That day. *Antonius Block*: I understand what you mean. If God isn't there, then life is a senseless terror. No man can live with Death and know that everything is nothing What is going to happen to those of us who want to believe but aren't able to?" (*The Seventh Seal*). **Psychosis.** Ingmar Bergman's films proclaim that existence is general, objectless, unfocused fear. It is deep anxiety and dread. It is chaos and purposelessness. It is freedom as license and irrational choice. It is beasts of prey hating each other and fighting for power and supremacy. It is human existence with no identity beyond the pure, infinite will to power. It is people isolated and alienated from civilized society. It is doubt, ambiguity, unclarity and uncertainty. It is an inexorable descent into doom and destruction. It is recklessness and irresponsibility. It is unreasonable and illogical. It is the law of the jungle because God is dead. It is random, mass killing committed by man the psychopath with maximum pleasure and pride: "An existential film delves into the philosophical exploration of life's essential questions. What is the meaning of existence? How do individuals confront the inevitabilities of life? Central themes in these films often include:

- **Existential Angst:** The deep anxiety stemming from the human condition and the awareness of one's own mortality.

- **Absurdity:** The conflict between the human desire to find inherent value and meaning in life, and the inability to find any in a chaotic, purposeless universe.
- **Freedom and Choice:** The burden of complete freedom and the responsibility it entails in shaping one's destiny.
- **Isolation:** Characters frequently experience profound loneliness, reflecting the disconnection from other beings and the universe.

Existential films usually portray protagonists facing characters grappling with existential crises—a moment of deep questioning about identity, purpose, and reality. These intense moments often lead to transformative life changes or profound realizations. Existential angst, or existential dread, is the profound, anguished feeling that comes with the awareness of life's inherent uncertainties and the individual's responsibility for shaping their life's course. Films like Ingmar Bergman's *The Seventh Seal* poignantly depict such angst, showcasing characters' confrontations with death and the search for meaning in the face of the inevitable end. The theme of absurdity highlights humanity's relentless quest for meaning in an indifferent universe. Albert Camus famously described life as inherently absurd, a sentiment echoed in many existential films. Existential films emphasize the immense freedom individuals possess, which comes with the burden of choice. Every decision shapes the course of one's life, reinforcing the idea that individuals are the authors of their destiny. The roots of existential cinema trace back to existentialism—a philosophical doctrine that gained prominence in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries. Thinkers like Soren Kierkegaard, Friedrich Nietzsche, and Jean-Paul Sartre influenced the movement. Their ideas about freedom, individuality, and the often irrational nature of existence found a profound expression in filmmaking. Ingmar Bergman, a Swedish director, profoundly influenced the genre with his exploration of complex existential themes. His films, such as *The Seventh Seal* and *Persona*, delve into topics like mortality, identity, and the search for God, reflecting his philosophical introspections." (*What Is An Existential Film? Understanding The Genre*, Greenlight Coverage, July 2, 2024). **Psychosis.** When Ingmar Bergman died on July 30, 2007, the credits of his life began rolling. The director of his films was Immanuel Kant. The stars included Soren Kierkegaard, Friedrich Nietzsche, Fyodor Dostoevsky, Jean Paul Sartre, Martin Heidegger, Simone de Beavoir and Albert Camus. Bergman himself was merely head of photography. Containing the remains of a twentieth century student of Kant projecting existence as dissonance, incongruity, randomnicity and horror, the grave of Ingmar Bergman is the grave of Western civilization.

REDS BURY ANTHROPOLOGY

79 AD. Campania, Southern Italy. The deadliest volcanic eruption in history occurs as Mount Vesuvius ejects a cloud of gases, rock and ash thirty three kilometers into the air at a speed of 1.5 million tons per second. The energy released is 100,000 times greater than the energy released by the nuclear bombings of Hiroshima and Nagaski, and as the columns of volcanic ejecta reach into the stratosphere, the city of Pompeii, a wealthy Roman town of 20,000 residents, lies buried beneath six meters of volcanic matter, and is lost for centuries. In the twenty first century, a volcanic explosion from a gutter religion has sent radioactive death clouds coursing through the West, poisoning the scientific study of humanity founded during the Renaissnce. In the twenty first century, magma and mushroom clouds ejected by Marxism have buried the shining science of anthropology and constructed a religion in its place. This new religion of pseudoscience promotes the tenets of gender, race and class politics, together with primitive indigenous movements aligned with Marxism, in a war to overthrow the Enlightenmen rule of reason and empiricism. In the twenty first century, a mass movement flowing from the moral sewers of society, a movement which has become a synonym and byword for the Western cultural and political establishment, has invaded the arts and sciences, and left no area of

knowledge unscathed. In the twenty first century, the advance of Marxist politicization of science has rendered five centuries of anthropology null and void and left nothing standing beyond the name. Listen to the twenty first century edition of the *Communist Manifesto*, in the chapter entitled *Anthropology*, and hear the lava rolling of civilizational collapse, burying forever the science founded in Renaissance Germany in the sixteenth century by Magnus Hundt and Otto Casmann. The manifesto of the new anthropology proclaims that a progressive science of man is run by identity politics activists. A progressive science of man is run by women's interest groups. A progressive science of man is a women's mentoring network. A progressive science of man promotes queer ideology. A progressive science of man promotes latina, latino and latinx ideology. A progressive science of man promotes indigenous rights. A progressive science of man promotes black racism. A progressive science of man is a branch of Black Lives Matter. A progressive science of man seeks to erase the past in the service of the latest Marxist party line. A progressive science of man is run by the American Indian communities. A progressive science of man promotes repatriation ideology which demands the return of all human remains to their sacred, mystical, native sites. A progressive science of man rejects the empirical method and the view of truth as absolute, and is a postEnlightenment exercise in Marxist political correctness. A progressive science of man cancels speakers and depublishes books critical of Marxist tribal politics. A progressive science of man rejects the scientific study of the universe in favor of indigenous creation myths. A progressive science of man promotes the politics of wokeism and condemns capitalism as a regime of discrimination against women, nonwhites, indigenous tribes and the poor. A progressive science of man condemns capitalism as a regime of victimization of the people. A progressive science of man promotes the politics of gays, lesbians, bisexuals and people of color. A progressive science of man promotes the politics of group identities and is a religion of evermultiplying tribes. A progressive science of man promotes the religion of transgenderism, which denies the existence of human sex chromosomes establishing the binary, biological character of sex, and proclaims that gender can be changed by human choice and self identification, and condemns the critics of transgenderism as intolerant and transphobic. A progressive science of man condemns capitalism as a regime of marginalization of transgenders. A progressive science of man rejects rational argumentation and attacks the critics of Marxism on the basis of their gender, race, class, culture, sexual orientation and other group identities. A progressive science of man promotes mystical, tribal social justice in place of natural, individual justice, and promotes a global social justice revolution to sweep away human freedom. A progressive science of man studies the universe of human tribes, ancient tribes, neotribes, supertribes, overlappig tribes and intersectional tribes, as the primary realities in human societies, and leads a revolution of Marxist identity politics to overthrow liberal democracy: "Anthropology's main purpose is to teach us about others—other cultures and people from other times. The study of the other was meant to show us human diversity and similarities. This helps us figure out what stems from culture and what lies in our biology, often with a focus on the shared biology that makes us human. Today, anthropologists have abandoned their desire to understand others, and identity politics activists have hijacked the field. This can be clearly seen in the many identify groups, which I call *neotribes*, present in anthropological associations, such as the American Anthropological Association, the Society for American Archaeology, and the American Association for Biological Anthropology. Consider, for example, the following, all of which are now present in the discipline:

Women in Archaeology Interest Group
Biological Anthropology Women's Mentoring Network
Association for Queer Anthropology
Queer Archaeology Interest Group
Association of Latina/o and Latinx Anthropologists

Association of Indigenous Anthropologists
Association of Black Anthropologists

Across the pond, the British Association for Biological Anthropology and Osteoarchaeology lists BlackArchaeology Organizations that include:

Decolonize British Archaeology
The Society of Black Archaeologists
Academics for Black Lives
Aspire Black Suffolk CIC

I wasn't aware of this neotribal takeover until 2020, when my book (coauthored with James W. Springer), *Reparations And Erasing The Past,* came out. The book focused on the problem of anthropologists losing skeletal collections to repatriation ideology and laws. Repatriation ideology is 'any ideology, political movement, or law that attempts to control anthropological research by giving control over that research to contemporary American Indian communities.' It exists because of a postmodern [postEnlightenment] agenda that focuses on the perceived victimhood status of a given narrator, rather than on whether the narration is accurate. Postmodernists, after all, do not accept the concept of objectivity or truth. Since the publication of *Repatriation And Erasing The Past*, I have been on the receiving end of multiple cancellation attempts, including one to try to depublish the book itself. Another one occurred over a talk I gave to the Society for American Archaeology that questioned the validity of repatriating collections based on indigenous creation myths (rather than on scientific evidence). More recently, the talk I had planned to give to the American Anthropological Association on the validity of the sex binary was canceled, as well, as was the entire panel including four other anthropologists who assert that sex is binary, biological, and a necessary component in understanding human nature, culture, and behavior. I wrote about these cancellations in my latest book: *On The Warpath: My Battle With Indians, Pretendians And Woke Warriors*. Throughout each attempt to cancel me, the aforementioned neotribes reared their ugly heads. These neotribes were upset because I had dared to question the validity of victims' narratives. If one victimhood narrative is questioned, could the neotribes' other narratives—all of which are based on identity politics and victimhood status—be questioned, as well? And so the cancelations rolled in. The British Association for Biological Anthropology and Osteoarchaeology wrote a letter to 'encourage the University of Florida Press to withdraw from sale and digital access *Repatriation And Erasing The Past* and hoped 'that the Press [would] act, and use this moment to highlight the work of our BIPOC [Bisexual People of Color] colleagues.' Responding to our talk at the Society for American Archaeology, the Queer Archaeology Interest Group wrote that the Society for American Archaeology should 'develop an environment not of tolerance, but of genuine inclusion, in which no member feels marginalized for their identity or ideas (provided that they are in keeping with the Society's stated ethics and goals).' In the course of my American Anthropological Association cancellation, the organization itself put out a letter entitled *No Place For Transphobia in Anthropology: Session Pulled From Annual Meeting Program*. In it, they wrote, 'We are committed to upholding the value and dignity of transgender people.' Unfortunately, the neotribes of anthropology are ruining anthropological research by looking at the past through their neotribal lenses." (*The Neo Tribes Of Anthropology* by Elizabeth Weiss). *Anthropology, that is to say the science that treats of man, is divided ordinarily and with reason into anatomy, which considers the body and the parts, and psychology, which speaks of the soul*. (*Anatomical Writings Of Gaspar Bartholin, Augmented With New Opinions And Observations From Moderns* by Caspar Bartholin). This seventeenth century treatise on anthropology by a world famous scholar at the University of Copenhagen has no relevance in the twenty first century. Taken over by Marxism, this vital science is a shadow of its former self. No longer a scientific study of human anatomy, human psychology, or

human culture and society, anthropology in the twenty first century is antiempirical, antiscience, antihumanity and the apocalypse of the mind.

PHILOSOPHY VANISHES IN THE TWENTY FIRST CENTURY

In many Book Of The Dead papyri and tombs, the deceased is depicted emerging from the tomb by day in shadow form, a thin, black, featureless silhouette of a person. The person in this form is, as we would put it, a mere shadow of his former existence. (*The Egyptian Book Of The Dead* edited by Ogden Goelet). *A shadow of one's former self* is a well known phrase in English, and means that a person or object has become weaker, less capable and less powerful than it once was. The person or object has lost its former dominance and authority, and is in a state of irreversible illness and decline. Since the dawn of the twentieth century, philosophy has existed as a shadow of its former self, robbed of its life and vitality by a distant explosion occurring in the eighteenth century which blotted out the sun. This explosion was detonated by the most influential and discussed philosopher in modern times. He was born during the Age of Enlightenment but rejected its fundamental tenets, rejected its belief in the method of empiricism and the existence of physical objects, and led a countermovement which sought to return the West to its Faustian roots in pure subjectivism. Immanuel Kant was the greatest spokesman for the antiClassical, antiApollonian culture of the West which emerged centuries after the Classical world had descended into fields of ruination. He was the greatest spokesman for the view that human knowledge is confined to nothingness, and when he proclaimed in his *Critique Of Pure Reason* that "I have found it necessary to deny knowledge in order to make room for faith," when he proclaimed that the world of physical objects is a universal, collective human delusion, and that man is blind, not when his eyes cease to function but when they function normally, and that man is blind because he has eyes, he set into a motion a movement of subjectivism without precedent in European Classical history. This movement is the hallmark of the Faustian West, and Kant's explosion would turn philosophy away from the study of reality and into realms of pure mental creations. In the wake of Kant, neoKantian shadow philosophies would develop which projected reality as a manifestation of some nonphysical existence. Among these philosophies was the philosophy of linguistic analysis which developed in the twentieth century. The mighty river of knowledge created by Classical philosophers was replaced by a dark Kantian stream of subjectivism, and the stream thinned and faded in the twentieth century with the advent of a philosophy which proclaimed that reality consists in nothing more than words, and the philosopher is nothing more than an analyst of language. In the shadow of Kantian philosophy stood Ludwig Wittgenstein, the founder of linguistic analysis, who proclaimed that words refer to nothing in reality: "For a *large* class of cases of the employment of the word *meaning*, this word can be explained in this way: the meaning of a word is its use in the language." (*Philosophical Investigations*, Section 43). The notion that words refer to objects in reality is mere religion, occultism and superstition: "My task is to bring words back from their metaphysical to their everyday use". (*Philosophical Investigations*, Section 116). All traditional philosophic problems connected with the nature of reality can be "dissolved" by an analysis of propositions. Language is a game unrelated to reality and there are as many socalled realities as there are language games, no one of which may be held to be more real than any other. The task of philosophers is to compile dictionaries and observe and report on how people use words. What is called reality is nothing more than a creation of language and the community: "At that time the orthodoxy best described as linguistic philosophy, inspired by Wittgenstein, was crystallizing and seemed to me totally and utterly misguided. Wittgenstein's basic idea was that there is no general solution to issues other than the custom of the community. Communities are ultimate. He didn't put it this way, but that was what it amounted to. And this doesn't make sense in a world in which communities are not stable and are not clearly isolated

from each other. Nevertheless, Wittgenstein managed to sell this idea, and it was enthusiastically adopted as an unquestionable revelation." (Interview with Ernest Gellner by John Davis, 1991). **Linguistic Philosophy.** In the shadow of Kant and Wittgenstein, linguistic philosophy proclaims that philosophy has no relation to understanding, reasoning about or reflecting upon reality. Philosophy offers no perspectives on reality. Philosophy offers no wisdom about man or the world. Philosophy involves a study of word play and the multiple meanings of words. Philosophy is a theory of words and not a theory of reality. Philosophy studies the essence of words and not the essence of reality. Philosophy offers no information about the world. Philosophy has no real world substance of any kind. Philosophy offers dissertations on linguistic forms and categories without relation to any extralinguistic reality. Philosophy has no relation to any real world skills, tasks, challenges, creativity, decision making or problem solving. Philosophy has no relation to the course of scientific or technological innovations and advancements. Philosophy has no relation to human morality. Philosophy has no relation to understanding human values, preparing for the future or pursuing the good life of man. The discourse of philosophy is the discourse of pure mind detached and disengaged from reality. Irrelevant to the human tasks of knowing, reasoning about, acting in and adapting to the world, philosophy is an exercise in mental navel gazing, internal echo production and psychotic self reference: "Philosophy teaches us to consider, reflect, and reason effectively. It challenges us to question our assumptions, understand different perspectives, and articulate our ideas with precision. These are skills that are not just beneficial but essential in an era in which information is abundant but wisdom scarce. Sadly, though, in recent times philosophy has entered the realm of academic absurdity, with departments finding more and more inventive ways to squander taxpayers' money—such as, for example, by publishing papers on metaphysics of puns. Yes, you read that right. While dizzying technological advances threaten to upend whole economies and cultures, ivory tower intellectuals are busy dissecting the ontological status of wordplay. The fact that academics are devoting time to exploring the type token theory of words and its application to puns is, for lack of a better word, preposterous. And the puns based paper is not merely a footnote in some obscure journal. It was published in *Synthese*, a reputable outlet for serious philosophical discourse. The paper argues for a novel account of puns based on 'nominalist views of words' to address some supposed indeterminacy in the current theories. Imagine the hours of deep thought, peer reviews, and academic resources poured into unraveling the pun's metaphysical essence. This is not just a harmless exercise in intellectual frivolity; it's a profound misallocation of public funds. ination and critique of the uses of language. Philosophy has no relation to information about the world. Philosophy, a discipline that could be engaging with pressing ethical dilemmas, the nature of consciousness, or the intricacies of justice, instead chooses to ponder the essence of a joke. What's particularly galling is the self referential nature of this academic exercise. Papers like these are not intended for the public, who fund much of the research, but for other academics, who will cite, critique, and expand upon this cerebral navel gazing. It's an echo chamber, wherein relevance to the real world is an afterthought at best. This isn't just a one off anomaly. The trend toward hyper-specialized, esoteric topics that border on the nonsensical is growing. Look at the broader context of metaphysical and epistemological studies—volume upon volume dedicated to debates that have zero impact outside of the cloistered walls of academia (or that are aggressively leftist and annoying). Meanwhile, the public's patience and funding wear thin, and philosophy departments crumble away. In an era in which the cold, metallic hand of AI stretches ominously over every aspect of our lives, philosophy is more vital than ever. We don't need ivory tower intellectuals churning out frivolous dissertations on obscure trivialities. We need philosophers who can deliver the goods, offering real, substantive insights that cut through the digital fog. This is a time for heavyweights, not lightweight nonsense. Here are just a few reasons why philosophy is so crucial: 1. Critical Thinking Skills: Philosophy encourages individuals to think critically, analyze information, and evaluate different

perspectives. In a world dominated by rapid technological advances and information overload, the ability to discern truth from falsehood is invaluable. 2. Ethical Decisionmaking: The integration of AI into our lives raises numerous ethical questions. From privacy concerns to the ethical use of AI in warfare, citizens need a strong ethical foundation to navigate these complex issues. Philosophy provides the tools to develop moral reasoning and understand the consequences of our actions. 3. Creativity and Innovation: As AI automates routine tasks, creativity becomes increasingly important. Philosophy encourages creative thinking and problem solving, skills that are essential for innovation in a tech driven world." (*The Startling Deterioration Of American Philosophy Departments* by John MacGhlionn). In the twenty first century, Europe's major rivers are running dry. This baleful condition is caused by drought, climate change and increasing water demand. A vital river for European shipping and energy supplies, the Rhine is approaching death. Once a frontier of the Roman Empire, the Danube is approaching death. Proclaiming that words are arbitrary social products without relation to physical objects, that they are primary existents without any origin or purpose beyond themselves, and that philosophic investigations into reality are nothing more than investigations into the use of language, linguistic analysis is a neoKantian river which has run dry in the twenty first century. Exalting linguistic "clarification" above the understanding of reality, the dead river beds of Kantian philosophy spell the bankruptcy of the West.

REASON VERSUS THE FAUSTIAN WEST

Doctor Johannes Faust, German scholar, is the supreme cultural motif of the West. Standing at a crossroads, he summon the Devil to make a bargain. He agrees to sell his rational soul in exchange for infinite power, will and subjectivity. The figure of Faust and his Devil's contract symbolize the essence of the culture of the West, which emerged in Europe in 1000 AD, as the soaring church steeples across the continent were striking midnight and the engulfing darkness of the Northern forests was intensifying, and serve to differentiate this culture from its diametrical opposite. Emerging in Southern Europe in 1000 BC, the culture of the Greeks and Romans was based on the figure of Apollo. He is the symbol of reason, the greatest symbol of reason in the history of mankind. He is the symbol of objectivity, truth, the rational arts and sciences, healing and medicine, static noonday beauty and perfection, and the transit of the sun across the sky every day, painting the world in a myriad of bright, shining colors. The philosophy of Apollo is the common sense philosophy affirming that man perceives a world of physical objects and these objects are determinate somethings which are as they are. It affirms that A is A, that all things in the universe are as they are, and that existence is something and is noncontradiction. It affirms that man is a free born agent and a rational animal with the power to perceive objects and grasp the natures of things. Listen to the preeminent philosopher of Apollo, the preeminent philosopher of reason, sanity, common sense and ordinary experience, affirm that all perception is the perception of existence, that the act of perception is caused by physical objects, and in the act of perception, the eyes are activated and receive the form of the physical object without the matter: "We have spoken in outline about the senses individually. But with regard to every sense in general, we have to recognize that a sense is something that can receive perceptible forms without the matter, just as wax receives the ring’s signet without the iron or gold: it takes on the golden or brazen signet, but not in so far as it is a gold or bronze ring." (*On The Soul* by Aristotle, Book II). Now look at the course of Western Faustian culture and see the evil fruits of the Faustian bargain rain down destruction on the human mind and on mental health and well being. While for Apollo and Aristotle, to be is to be primarily something physical, and to perceive is always to perceive a physical object, for Faust and his students, to be and to perceive terminate in nothing. For Rene Descartes, to be is to be a pure mathematical object without qualitative properties of any kind and thus to be identical to pure space, while to perceive is to perceive just one's own ideas. For Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz, to be is be a

mental monad. For Baruch Spinoza, to be is to be an infinite substance which is both mind and matter at one and the same time. For John Locke, to be is to be an unperceivable, nonphysical "something I know not what," while to perceive is to perceive just one's own ideas. For George Berkeley, to be is to be perceived by the eyes of man or God. For David Hume, to be is to be an unperceivable, nonphysical reality, while to perceive is to perceive just one's own ideas. For Immanuel Kant, to be is to be an unperceivable, nonphysical "thing in itself," while to perceive is just to perceive our own delusions of physical objects. For Georg Hegel, to be is be Absolute Mind. For Friedrich Nietzsche, to be is to be the Will to Power. For Karl Marx, man is devoid of mind and freedom and is a puppet of matter and machines, and all truths are relative to economic classes. For Edmund Husserl, to perceive is to perceive subjective human structures and experience. For Sigmund Freud, man is devoid of mind and freedom and is a puppet of unconscious, cosmic forces. For the pragmatists, to be is to be a whirling, indeterminate flux which can be shaped and molded by human needs and interests. For Martin Heidegger, to be is to be nothing, existence has no essence, and is to be *Dasein* or subjective human time and existence. For Ludwig Wittgenstein, to be is to be a series of arbitrary language game and linguistic forms of life. For modern relativity physics, to be is to be pure geometry and a pure field of force without particles of any kind. For modern quantum physics, to be is to be particles, but particles which can move about by pure chance, can act in any manner whatever, and can be anywhere and nowhere at one and the same time. For the school called postmodernism to be is to be a series of language texts which are contradictory, nonbinary and subject to endless interpretations and deconstructions. The name of the school is a misnomer. The school is in fact not postmodern but postEnlightenment, because in the long history of modernity, the Enlightenment and the Enlightenment alone affirmed Aristotelian reason and common sense. For the structuralists, to perceive is to perceive delusions, such as the delusions of physical objects, created by universal mental structures and relations: "The belief that phenomena of human life are not intelligible except through their interrelations. These relations constitute a structure, and behind local variations in the surface phenomena there are constant laws of abstract structure." (*Structuralism* by Simon Blackburn, *Oxford Dictonary of Philosophy*). For neoMarxist critical theory, to be is to be a construct of gender, race, class, culture and sexual orientation. For Jurgen Habermas, to be is to be a construct of social consensus, needs, interests and public communication. The fateful Faustian bargain with the Devil which defines the West unleashed rivers of blood in the twentieth century as Marx's *Communist Manifesto* was placed into power and became the bible of a new Communist Bloc which enslaved one third of the world's population. The world of communism is a world of soldiers, tanks and artillery marching and firing upon innocent people condemned as enemies of the class. It is a world of endless May Days committing violence in the streets. It is a world in which fanatics in the service of a god called Society have plunged humanity into the nightmare of mass murder. It is a world in which people are gunned down by rifles marked *gender, race and class politics*. It is a world in which the Enlightenment is immolated on an altar marked *critical race theory*, which is critical of the notions of reason, empiricism and individual rights, and advocates a regime of black totalitarian dictatorship. It is a world which condemns capitalism as a regime of oppression of women, nonwhites, gays, lesbians, bisexuals, transgenders, indigenous groups, Palestinians and the poor. It is a world which condemns freedom, private markets and private spaces as a regime of exploitation of the people. It is a world in which universities have been transformed into ideological echo chambers and centers of communist propaganda. It is a Soviet police state enforcing the the latest tenets of the people's progressive party line. It is a world of communist red shirts, communist black shirts and communist brown shirts. It is a world of communist fascism and communist national socialism. It is a world promoting socialism, communism, radicalism and revolution. It is a world promoting infiltration, espionage and treason against free societies. It is a world which has repealed all forms of liberty, from economic liberty to

liberty of conscience, and reduced the individual to a passive cog in the wheels of the social organism. It is a world which targets individuals as members of enemy groups, such as whites, males, property owners, educated people, talented people. successful people and people critical of communism, for total destruction. It is a world which spells human social progress with the fiery words *Holodomor*, *Dukulakization*, *Great Terror*, *Shoah*, *Great Leap Forward*, *Great Chinese Famine*, *Cultural Revolution* and *Killing Fields*: "Socialists and communists have been celebrating May Day for more than a century now—in the communist regimes, by a grim display of marching soldiers, tanks, and artillery. On May Day, we should remember how many innocents were butchered by the fanatics who sought to impose the communist nightmare on humanity—and how many millions led and lead lives blighted by communist tyranny. On May Day, America, so far, has been spared this nightmare. Too many of our children will cheer on quasicommunist ideals and policies on May Day. Too many unwittingly have embraced categories of Marxist thought, conveyed by vehicles such as critical race theory or Paulo Freire's "pedagogy of the oppressed," which divide humanity into "oppressor and oppressed" or regard the free market as fundamentally exploitative. They do so not least because they have never been taught in school just what communism is or what massive destruction it has done. Radical advocates within America's education establishment propagandize their policies above all by failing to teach the record of communism. Students do not know about the terrifying Soviet police state that was the model for fascist Italy and nazi Germany. They do not know how communist regimes sought, with terrible success, to annihilate political liberty, religious liberty, economic liberty, and even the family. They are not aware of the economic collapse that often accompanies communism, or the environmental harm caused by communist policies. They do not know about the Soviet espionage in America that helped secure the USSR the atomic bomb or about the sympathy too many American intellectuals felt for communism. Radicalized students do not know that the ideals they cheer today were cheered a century ago and gave birth to communist regimes that wreaked a greater death toll than nazi Germany, fascist Italy, and imperial Japan combined. They do not know the communist holocausts—the Holodomor of the Ukraine, the Great Chinese Famine, the Killing Fields of Cambodia. They do not know how the fanatics on our college campuses echo the aims and the language of China's Cultural Revolution." (*History Of Communism* by David Randall). Adolf Gnauth was a nineteenth century German engraver and lithographer. Hanging in the *Goethe Galerie* in Jena, Germany, is his most famous work, the engraving *Devil's Pact: Faust-Mephisto*. It depicts Faust in his laboratory and study chamber shaking hands with the Devil, making the terrifying agreement to sell his sane reason in exchange for an infinite, insane will. This is an agreement which could only have been made in the antiApollonian West. In the twentieth century, it opened the gates of hell and unleashed the apocalypse.

THE RED HOUSE OF SOCIOLOGY

Bartholomew the Englishman was a thirteenth century Scholastic and member of the Franciscan Order. He was the author of the first encyclopedia in the West, called *On The Properties Of Things*, which was the most famous and widely cited book of the Christian Dark Ages. Written for students and the general public, the encyclopedia has a section on dyes, and shows the process of dyeing woolen cloth red in a large vat by two workers wielding large stirring tools. Dye. A colored substance chemically bonding to a material and changing its color forever. Sociology is the scientific study of human society. A branch of the social sciences and humanities, sociology employs the method of empiricism and forms its theories exclusively from the observation of reality. Rejecting all forms of apriorism and mysticism, it is firmly anchored in data analysis and critical thinking, and accepts no statements or claims not rigorously tested and grounded in the evidence of the senses. In the mid twentieth century, sociology abandoned its scientific character and descended into the dark waters of politics and ideology, and

became a house organ of the Western cultural and political establishment. Reflecting the spirit of the times, sociology in the mid twentieth century became dyed in the number one mass gutter religion of our time, and has remained dyed ever since. In the mid twentieth century, sociology was captured by Marxism, and for the past eighty years has been a megaphone of the mob blasting out gender, race and class politics at ear splitting decibels, and spewing out sewer water into university classrooms, conferences, seminars and lecture halls throughout the West. Listen to the twenty first century edition of the *Communist Manifesto* and hear the final chapter of sociology. Hear the scientific study of human social behavior, relationships and interactions swept away by the rising tides of world Marxist revolution. The latest edition of Karl Marx's masterwork proclaims that a progressive sociology rejects scientific thoroughness in favor of promoting the latest people's party line. A progressive sociology promotes mystical, tribal, social justice in favor of natural, empirical, individual justice. A progressive sociology promotes compassion toward the communist criminal underworlds of society. A progressive sociology does not judge people as individuals but judges them as members of gender, race and class tribes. A progressive sociology promotes Marxism in the name of the emancipation of women, nonwhites, gays, lesbians, transgenders, Palestinians and the poor. A progressive sociology promotes community action to overthrow liberal institutions. A progressive sociology condemns capitalism as a source of trauma for women, nonwhites and the poor and seeks to heal the survivors. A progressive sociology provides an analysis and assessment of capitalism from the point of view of radical politics. A progressive sociology condemns capitalism as a regime of injustice and inequality. A progressive sociology teaches students to view themselves as agents of Marxist violence and upheaval. A progressive sociology is a course in Marxist community involvement and subversion. A progressive sociology teaches students how evil the world is due to the capitalist system and the necessity of establishing socialism. A progressive sociology is a course in Marxist political action and destruction. A progressive sociology condemns capitalism as a morally twisted regime oppressing and exploiting marginalized communities. A progressive sociology promotes communist totalitarian tyranny as the highest form of utopia. A progressive sociology rejects teaching and learning based on science in favor of Marxist activism. A progressive sociology rejects science in favor of Marxist religious instruction, preparation, practice and war. A progressive sociology rejects empirical facts, theories and knowledge production in favor of Marxist political campaigning. A progressive sociology teaches critical race theory, which is critical of the Enlightenment values of reason, truth, human universalism and human rights, and is black communist, national socialist, political theory. A progressive sociology teaches students to have empathy for the victims of capitalist oppression and exploitation. A progressive sociology promotes queer politics. A progressive sociology rejects the existence of human sex chromosomes and teaches students that sex can be changed by human will, choice and self identification. A progressive sociology teaches students that women who claim to be men are transgender men, and are in fact real men, and when they are pregnant, they are pregnant men. A progressive sociology is a course in race and sexual orientation politics. A progressive sociology teaches communism with a faculty freak show headed by male, feminine, transgender, queer, light skinned latinos. A progressive sociology condemns capitalism as a regime of white racism and heterosexual bias, and promotes black gay and lesbian communism. A progressive sociology teaches students that white racism permeates every corner of free societies and can only be defeated by communism. A progressive sociology rejects the principle of meritocracy on the grounds that it is a white centered regime which discriminates against unqualified blacks and hispanics. A progressive sociology rejects the liberal principle of equality of opportunity in favor of discrimination on the basis of race, ethnicity and gender, and calls its racism, ethnicism and sexism the achievement of diversity, equity and inclusion. A progressive sociology teaches that truth is relative to gender, race, class, culture and sexual orientation, and the fact that blacks proportionately commit more crimes than whites is a

white fact and not a black fact, and has no legitimacy. A progressive sociology is an exercise in Marxist agitation designed to induce students to resist the capitalist system, rage against the capitalist system and paralyze free institutions: "*Teaching Sociology* has existed since 1973 and advertises itself on the ASA website as 'advanc[ing] the quality of sociology instruction.' I admit having never looked at it during the entirety of my quarter century in the discipline until writing this essay. I came to graduate school under the influence of a pre1960s generation of social scientists, and I shared their belief that rigorous scientific study of human behavior and social organization was possible. I learned early in my career that sociology is in intellectual decline. My walk through of *Teaching Sociology* offers bitter evidence of just how great the distance is between the early scientific promise of sociology and what is taught to students in sociology courses today. The teaching of sociology is understood by the journal as straightforwardly political, in a univocal ideological vein. 'Social justice,' 'mindfulness,' 'a compassionate orientation,' 'an emancipatory project,' 'a trauma informed and survivor centered pedagogy,' and 'a radical critique of society for the purpose of reducing inequality' are among the descriptives commonly encountered in this literature. One representative article (*Help Me See The 'Magnificent' Side Of Sociology: The Outcomes Of A Community Action And Involvement Course Designed To Help Undergraduates View Themselves As Agentic*) affirmatively cites a student's account of a typical sociology class in this way: 'We have spent this whole course seeing how bad things are in the world. I do not like the way society is, but I do not know how to change it.' In other words, sociology serves to show students how warped human societies are from some pristine ideal. Not enough of what happens in sociology courses, such thinking goes, is about straightforward political action to try to produce the utopia imagined. That said, much of *Teaching Sociology* does describe, and cheer, how much activism is going on in the sociology classroom under the guise of teaching and learning. Many articles positively discuss class assignments that are straightforward ideological training and exercises in naked partisanship. 'Active learning' is championed as a method for getting students 'beyond' mere knowledge and into a dedication to political action, always of a progressive tenor. Emotional appeals to empathy and compassion are foregrounded. I found little interest, in *Teaching Sociology* articles, in the evaluation of the efficacy of knowledge production in class—that is, in the objective expansion of student expertise with facts and theories. There was, however, considerable interest in tracking and enhancing the way in which courses push students to 'the motivation to ease suffering.' The goal is 'not only to understand the reciprocal connections between personal troubles and public issues but also to alleviate social inequalities' (*Values, Compassion, And The Role Of Active Learning In An Introduction To Sociology Class*). Much attention is given in *Teaching Sociology* to the imperative to teach critical race theory, queer theory, and other approaches that move away from sociology as a science and enthusiastically embrace activist political projects. One researcher describes describes efforts to 'queer the sociology classroom' by having students 'watch a short video from 2008 of [transsexual] Thomas Beatie after he appeared on *The Oprah Winfrey Show* to announce his pregnancy. The clip ends with Thomas, pregnant belly stretching his shirt, proclaiming, 'I'm a man, I just happen to be a pregnant man' (*Queering the Introduction To Sociology Course*). Another article on how to expand discussions of race and sexuality in sociology classes consists entirely of the writer's reflections on his own identity: 'As a cisgender, feminine but male presenting, queer, light skinned Latinx faculty member, [when] I walk into the classroom, I wonder whether I am seen as a freak [or] an anomaly.' This professor describes his/her class as 'a sea of whiteness' requiring conscious work to 'deploy sexuality studies [in order to introduce] intersectional omissions' (*A Sea Of Whiteness: Teaching Sexuality Through A New Sociology At A US University*)." (*Teaching Sociology Is An Ideological Nightmare* by Alexander Riley). In the fourteenth century, the Italian Renaissance scholar Petrarch coined the term *Dark Ages*. This designated the first epoch of the West ruled by Christianity. It was a period of darkness marked by ignorance and error, and was contrasted with the

culture of the Greeks and Romans, marked by the light of knowledge and understanding. In the twenty first century, a new Dark Ages reigns supreme in the West and among its cloaks is dyed in the wool Marxist sociology.

GERMANY TODAY: ONE KARL MARX STREET

Six hundred. This is the number of streets, squares and monuments in Germany named after Karl Marx. Located in every direction, north, south, east and west, they dot the nation like small pox scars and bear witness to the moral sickness which is the nation's cultural and political establishment. The ideological founder of the Communist Bloc, which reached from the Soviet Union to Maoist China to Khmer Rouge Cambodia to North Korea to Cuba, a slave empire which exterminated 100 million people in the name of class war and the proletariat, Karl Marx has been the specter haunting Germany since the master wrote his *Capital* and *Communist Manifesto* in the nineteenth century, and his popularity is a synonym and byword for German national obscenity. Marxism has been pervasive in Germany in two fundamental forms, and these two forms have defined the course of its politics and culture for over two centuries. One form is the original form, the form promoting collectivism and self sacrifice in the name of a mystical proletarian class, while the other form is a second revised edition published by Marx's greatest student, Adolf Hitler. This second version, which ruled Germany from 1933 to 1945, promoted collectivism and self sacrifice in the name of a mystical Aryan race. Germany since the time of Hitler's Third Reich has been in oscillation between these two versions of Marxism, while the Enlightenment values officially voiced in its 1949 Basic Law have had near zero popular, cultural resonance, and in the twenty first century are melting away beneath the hot midday sun of various Marxist based extremisms. Look at Germany in the twenty first century and see a battleground between communists and fascists, reviving the battleground which was the Weimar Republic. **Communists.** See communism in Germany promoted by The Left Party whose membership increases by the hour. See popular support for the former Soviet government of East Germany and its police apparatus of communist repression, the Stasi. See affluent classes throughout the nation promote communism. See young voters and women voters promote communism. See communism promoted by the Green Party. See communism promoted in the name of antifascism and opposition to the Alternative for Germany. See communism promoted in the name of redistributive justice redistributing wealt and robbing Peter to pay Paul. See communism promoted in the name of world peace and security. See communism promoted in the name of social justice. See communism promoted in the name of climate protection and reducing greenhouse gas emissions. See communism promoted in the name of socialism, world unity and the emancipation of the people: "Germany’s socialist Die Linke party has seen its membership nearly double since the start of the year, largely driven by an influx of support from women and young people. Die Linke (The Left), a direct descendant of the communist ruling party of the now defunct East Germany during the Cold War, is gaining popularity, particularly among the youth and female voters. The resurgence of Die Linke has also been notable in its growing popularity among those from Western Germany, who did not live under the Soviet aligned government in East Germany and its repressive state apparatus, including the infamous Stasi police force. While young and female voters in the affluent western regions of the country appear willing to back the Marxist party, the areas actually formerly led by the Socialist Unity Party of Germany (SED)—now Die Linke—have become strongholds for the populist right wing Alternative for Germany (AfD) party. According to the *Die Welt* newspaper, The Left’s membership has soared to 115,623 members, up from 58,532 at the end of last year. This was driven by a strong shift among female voters, with the proportion of Die Linke’s female membership increasing by four points since 2024. The Marxist party has also been successful in courting young voters, with nearly six in ten of its members being 35 years old or younger. Despite being tied in the polls at fourth place with the Greens at 11 per cent, Die Linke still trails significantly in terms of party members, with the Greens

having 180,000, the Social Democrats having 357,000, and the Christian Democratic Union having 364,200 members. However, with its recent surge, The Left now has a clear advantage over the Alternative for Germany in terms of membership. Only around 52,000 people are paid up members of the AfD, despite having more than double the electoral support in the polls of Die Linke. This disparity is likely influenced by the efforts by the government to classify the AfD as an extremist organization, which opens up the party to increased state surveillance. Commenting on the figures, Die Linke leader Ines Schwerdtner described her party's doubling of its memberships as an 'incredible success' and said that 'many new members wanted to take action for distributive justice, peace, socially just climate protection, and against political developments to the right.' 'What makes me particularly happy is that we have overtaken the right among young people and women,' Schwerdtner said. 'We are now the youngest party in terms of average membership and the one with the highest proportion of women.' " (*Ex Ruling Marxist Party Of East Germany Surges With Support From Female And Young Voters* by Kurt Zindulka). Look at Germany in the twenty first century and see ultraleft groups firebomb corporate symbols of global freedom such as Amazon and Deutsche Telekom, setting fire to their delivery vans. See communists burn down neighborhoods and set fire to streets. See communists claim responsibility for acts of violence and terror on internet bulletin boards. See communists declare war on the institutions of national defense, intelligence gathering, law and order and border controls, established to defend the cause of freedom. See communists target Israel for total destruction because it embodies in the Muslim deserts of the Middle East that which communists hate most of all, Western liberal Enlightenment civilization: "Specialist police are investigating after an ultraleft group claimed responsibility for the burning of dozens of Amazon and Deutsche Telekom trucks in Berlin this week. 100 firemen were deployed by the Berlin fire brigade to blazes in the Lichtenberg and Britz neighborhoods in early hours of Tuesday morning to tackle blazes apparently set amid large numbers of commercial vehicles, reports city newspaper *BZ*. At both locations the fires, which started simultaneously several miles apart, were too well established by the time they were noticed and reported to be put out and the vehicles were totally destroyed. In all 19 Amazon delivery vans in a private Amazon compound and 17 Deutsche Telekom vans in a car park were burnt out. Five further vehicles were damaged by flames leaping from the burning Amazon trucks at the compound location, *Tageschau* reports. The fires came as Amazon celebrated the opening of its new corporate headquarters in Berlin, the city's tallest office tower where 3,000 Amazon staff are due to work. The state security services are investigating the blazes because of the presumed political motivation for the arson. Indeed, the fires follow other such attacks in Berlin in recent days. Last week in the early hours of Tuesday morning 23 Amazon delivery vans were burnt out in Brandenburg, Germany. Weeks before on May 1st, another 16 Amazon vans were burnt in the Wittenau neighborhood of Berlin. Hours after Tuesday's fire, a letter published to a prominent, infamous left extremist scene bulletin board *Indymedia* claimed responsibility for the blazes, stating both Amazon and the state owned Deutsche Telekom are legitimate targets because of their involvement with Israel and Germany's police, intelligence services, and border controls." (*Civil War Watch: Left Extremists Burn Amazon, Telecom Company Vans In Berlin* by Oliver JJ Lane). **Fascists.** See fascism promoted in Germany by a front organization called the Alternative for Germany. Exploiting legitimate grievances by civilized Germans against communist sympathizing parties such as the Christian Democratic Union, the AfD promotes fascism in the name of combating CDU centrism, compromises, pragmatism and moderation. It promotes fascism in the name of combating grand coalitions between Germany's mainstream communist parties, the CDU and the Social Democratic Party. It promotes fascism in the name of combating the communist sympathizing European Union. It promotes fascism in the name of combating communist financial bailouts of EU nations. It promotes fascism in the name of combating communist mass migration and open borders policies inaugurated by Angela Merkel, which flooded

Germany with a bacterial plague from Syria, Afghanistan and Muslim theocracies throughout the world. It promotes fascism in the name of combating symbols of Islamic power and Marxist power, and reignites Hitler's swastikas to blaze out against Islam, Marxism and legitimate systems such as liberal democracy: "In the 2010s some German conservatives began voicing dissatisfaction that under Angela Merkel's chancellorship, the center right Christian Democratic Union (CDU) had become too moderate. Indeed, Merkel's reputation for pragmatic compromise was the stuff of legend within the upper echelons of German politics, and three of her four governments would be grand coalitions with the left leaning Social Democratic Party (SPD). In the spring of 2013 several members of Merkel's bloc were among the founders of AfD. Intended to give voice to Euroskeptics who advocated for disengagement from the European Union (EU)—in stark contrast to Merkel's championing of greater European integration—AfD protested the euro as the EU's sole currency and rejected bailouts of EU member countries in the wake of the eurozone debt crisis. When Merkel proposed supporting a bailout for Greece in 2015, 60 of her fellow conservatives voted against her. In 2015 AfD's priorities shifted. Even as a record number of migrants—more than one million—entered Germany, Merkel maintained that the country's borders would remain open in cases of humanitarian emergency, welcoming refugees from Syria, Afghanistan and elsewhere. AfD characterized such immigration as an invasion of foreigners that it was staunchly against, allowing the party to capitalize on rising populist and xenophobic attitudes in Europe. The party's rhetoric also became explicitly antiMuslim, as many migrants from Syria practiced Islam. In 2016 AfD called for a ban on 'Islamic symbols of power' in Germany, which included minarets, the public call to Islamic worship, and full body veils." (*Alternative For Germany* by Meg Matthias). **Communism and Fascism.** It was built between 1949 and 1960 in former East Berlin as a showcase for communism. Located in the Berlin districts of Mitte and Friedrichshain, reaching 2.3 kilometers in length, spanning 90 meters in width, and lined with homes, cafes, restaurants, hotels and cultural institutions, Karl Marx Allee is the greatest, grandest communist street in Europe. It is Germany's boulevard into communist-fascist self destruction in the twenty first century.

MASS ILLNESS LEFT AND RIGHT

Paranoia is a mental illness marked by distrust, anxiety, suspicion and false accusations. The paranoiac has delusions of persecution and conspiracy, and views people around him with the attitude that "everyone is out to get me." Marked by a distorted perception of reality, across the board hostility, and and the belief that accidents and coincidences are in fact intentional and the result of evil planning, paranoia is a central symptom of psychosis. Paranoia is the motivation behind the number one mass gutter religion of our time, and its adherents, driven onward by their mental illness, spread violence and upheaval in all the nations which they inhabit. This mass gutter religion is known as Marxism, and in its various forms and manifestations over the past two centuries, it has sought to subvert free institutions and establish the rule of tyranny. Marxism has been manifested in two main forms, and these have defined the Western cultural and political establishment since the dawn of the twentieth century and the demise of liberal Enlightenment constitutionalism. These two main forms are communism and fascism, the system of class tyranny and its offshoots and the system of race tyranny and its offshoots, and they have given rise to the myth of the Deep State to galvanize their followers. The Deep State. The paranoiac fantasy that there exist secret networks of power pursuing agendas and goals opposed to the interests of the people and seeking to oppress and exploit them. It is a staple of Marxist movements of all forms, and it has poisoned public discourse as it has composed the final chapter of the West in the twenty first century. Look at the history of Marxist Deep State theories and see a display of paranoia without equal in the history of mankind. See a cross section of mob politics and see a signpost pointing into the destruction of civilization. **Communist Deep State Fantasies.**

Look at communists today and see the construction of a conspiracy of bourgeois, capitalist overlords intent on oppressing, subordinating and exploiting the proletariat: "The history of all hitherto existing society is the history of class struggles. Freeman and slave, patrician and plebian, lord and serf, guildmaster and journeyman, in a word, oppressor and oppressed, stood in constant opposition to one another, carried on an uninterrupted, now hidden, now open fight, a fight that each time ended, either in a revolutionary reconstitution of society at large, or in the common ruin of the contending classes. In the earlier epochs of history, we find almost everywhere a complicated arrangement of society into various orders, a manifold gradation of social rank. In ancient Rome we have patricians, knights, plebians, slaves; in the Middle Ages, feudal lords, vassals, guildmasters, journeymen, apprentices, serfs; in almost all of these classes, again, subordinate gradations. The modern bourgeois society that has sprouted from the ruins of feudal society has not done away with class antagonisms. It has but established new classes, new conditions of oppression, new forms of struggle in place of the old ones. Our epoch, the epoch of the bourgeois, possesses, however, this distinct feature: it has simplified class antagonisms. Society as a whole is more and more splitting up into two great hostile camps, into two great classes directly facing each other–Bourgeoisie and Proletariat." (*Communist Manifesto* by Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels). Look at communists today and see New York City mayoral candidate Zohran Mamdani condemn capitalism as a regime of ruthless price gouging, profit motives and corporate supermarkets. See him advocate the establishment of Soviet style, city run grocery stores and the nationalization of New York: "During a Hamptons breakfast on Saturday, Andrew Cuomo, who is running as an independent in NYC's mayoral race, expressed that it was 'all or nothing' in the NYC mayoral race, according to the *New York Post*. The breakfast was hosted at 75 Main, in Southampton. 'It's all or nothing,' Cuomo stated. 'We either win or even I will move to Florida. God forbid!' Zohran Mamdani, who won the Democrat primary for New York City mayor, has suggested creating city run grocery stores, explaining that 'city funds from corporate supermarkets' would be redirected to 'city owned grocery stores whose mission is to lower prices.' 'We will redirect city funds from corporate supermarkets to city owned grocery stores whose mission is to lower prices, not price gouging, Mamdani said in a video. 'These stores will operate without a profit motive, or having to pay property taxes or rent, and will pass on those savings to you.' " (*Andrew Cuomo Threatens He Will 'Move To Florida' If Socialist Zohran Mamdani Wins NYC Mayoral Election* by Elizabeth Weibel). Look at communists today and see New York City mayoral candidate Zohran Mamdani advocate the abolition of private property. See him advocate communism in the name of providing a home to all. See him rebrand communism as *housing the unhoused* and a *statewide housing guarantee*: "Zohran Mamdani is being slammed for advocating for the 'abolition of private property' in a recently resurfaced video as the self proclaimed socialist campaigns for New York City mayor. 'My platform is that every single person should have housing, and I think faced with these two options, the system has hundreds of thousands of people unhoused, right? For what?' the Democrat nominee said in the clip. 'If there was any system that could guarantee each person housing, whether you call it the abolition of private property, or you call it a statewide housing guarantee, it is preferable to what is going on right now.' Representative Elise Stefanik (R-NY) slammed New York Governor Kathy Hochul (D) and the state's Democratic Party for paving the way for Zohran to win the primary: 'Yes, you heard that right. Like the true communist he is, commie Mamdani supports the abolition of private property, the congresswoman wrote. 'This is the NY Democrat Party @KathyHochul you lead and you have failed to condemn this dangerous insanity.' " (*Zohran Mamdani Pushes For 'Abolition of Private Property'* by Olivia Rondeau). Look at today communists and see immigration laws passed to allow the scum of the earth to settle in free societies. See Muslim communists, Arabic speaking communists and North African communists wield guns, knives and glass bottles, and spill blood in the streets. See them fill hospitals to overflowing with their cruelty and violence. See them transform city trains into transports of terror.

See them attack, rob and kill honest, hardworking people. See them run wild, unhinged, uncontrolled, bloodying the citizens of the Western house of freedom: "A New Yorker was reportedly attacked and left bloodied by two migrants in Milan, Italy, after they had targeted someone else with a glass bottle. Nick Pellegrino of Staten Island was enjoying his vacation in Milan, but when he boarded a train this week, all hell broke loose, the *New York Post* reported on Saturday. The Arabic speaking North African migrants are accused of stabbing him in the neck with a knife, taking his luggage and jewelry, and fleeing the scene. Pellegrino, who emergency crews said lost a liter and a half of blood, was saved after he stumbled onto the train's platform, where a teenager saw him and called for help. Authorities later arrested the suspects, whose names have not yet been revealed. The victim was rushed to a hospital, where surgeons closed his wound. During a phone interview, Pellegrino told the *Post*, 'With these very loose, lefty immigration laws, these immigrants come into these countries and they're running amok, trying to murder people. It's a playground for terror, for the vicious.' 'It's crazy. I know America has a big immigration problem, but it is worse here,' he added." (*'Playground For Terror'—African Migrants Stab New Yorker Vacationing In Italy* by Amy Furr). **Fascist Deep State Fantasies.** Look at fascists today and see them promote their creed in the name of Donald Trump's legitimate, patriotic campaign to Make America Great Again by making it free again. See them utilize Trump's MAGA campaign as a front for overthrowing global liberal capitalist institutions. See them promote fascism in the name of fighting a global child abuse conspiracy. See them promote fascism in the name of fighting a global child prostitution conspiracy founded by Jeffrey Epstein. See them promote fascism in the name of fighting capitalist collusions, shadows, client lists and sex trafficking. See them promote fascism in the name of fighting a conspiracy of liberal capitalist internationalists to control the people's national governments, powers and institutions: "When Jack Posobiec walked into the Department of Justice in Washington, DC last February, he thought he would finally get some answers about Jeffrey Epstein. But when he and other MAGA supporters were given essentially just rehashed, already public material--and when the government put a damper on the release of any new information this July--they balked. 'We were all told more was coming. That answers were out there and would be provided. Incredible how utterly mismanaged this Epstein mess has been. And it didn't have to be,' Posobiec posted on social media on 7 July. Now, Donald Trump is finding it hard to shake loose the conspiracy theories that have animated his base since he first broke through into Republican politics a decade ago. Posobiec, who emerged from the fringes of the internet in 2016 when he spread false rumors about a child abuse ring based in a Washington DC restaurant--a conspiracy theory that became known as Pizzagate--is just one of many MAGA die hards who believes officials are hiding key truths about Epstein's life and death. The disgraced financier and convicted sex offender died by suicide in a New York prison cell in 2019 while he was awaiting trial on sex trafficking charges. On a recent podcast hosted by *Breitbart News* editor Alex Marlow, Posobiec said the MAGA base see this case as shorthand for the thorough rot of the socalled deep state. 'It's not that they care about Epstein personally,' he said. 'It's that they care that there's this optic that Epstein was somehow involved with a shadowy system that actually has control over our government, control over our institutions, control of our lives, and really is a ruling power over us.'." (*Why The Epstein Case Looms Large In MAGA World* by Mike Wendling). **The Deep State Today.** The *Hammer Of Witches* is the most famous and influential treatise on witches in history. Written in 1486 by the German Catholic priest Heinrich Kramer, it sets forth in systematic detail the Dark Ages belief that there is a secret network of witches and demons working to subvert the Christian Church. The treatise set into motion a movement of mass hysteria, inquisitions and witch trials across Europe and colonial America which destroyed the lives of tens of thousands of innocent people. In the twenty first century, the conspiracies, hidden enemies and Deep State paranoia of the Dark Ages are alive and well, marching under the modern flags of communism and fascism.

RED HAMMERS SMASH MUSEUMS

It lasted ten years and 143 days, from May 16, 1966 to October 6, 1976. It was launched by Mao Zedong and it stopped only when he died. It is known as the Cultural Revolution and it was a movement of mass destruction which erased Chinese history, culture and the lives of tens of millions of people in the name of communism. On May 16, Mao issued his declaration of war against freedom and civilization, condemning them as capitalist counterrevolution, and advocated the destruction of China in the name of the dictatorship of the proletariat: "Those representatives of the bourgeois who have sneaked into the party, the government, the army and various spheres of culture are a group of counterrevolutionary revisionists. Once conditions are ripe, they will seize political power and turn the dictatorship of the proletariat into a dictatorship of the bourgeois. Some of them we have already seen through; others we have not. Some are still trusted by us and are being trained as our successors, persons like Khrushchev for example, who are still nestling beside us." (*May 16 Notification* by Mao Zedong). Listen to the clarion call to genocide issued by the *People's Daily* on June 1, 1966: "The proletariat must completely eradicate the Four Olds, old ideas, old customs, old culture and old habits, which have poisoned the people of China for thousands of years, fostered by the exploiting capitalist classes." The communist movement of mass destruction, emanating from the number mass gutter religion of our time, has been destroying people, places, freedom and culture since the first communist revolution in 1917 in Russia, and has filled mass graves throughout the world with its victims. In the twenty first century, it is alive and well, creating more ever more graves and ever more victims, for it is a movement which courses through the West and blazes like a virus, enjoying widespread popular support in its various forms and manifestations. In the mid twentieth century, communism created a mutation called *gender, race and class politics*, a mutation created because Karl Marx had opened up the door to tribalism with his division of mankind into two enemy camps, the proletariat and the bourgeois, and this tribalism would create ever more tribes in the twenty first century, in a process of division and subdivision which is necessarily endless. In the twenty first century, a multiheaded Marxist monster carrying tribal flags in every color of the spectrum has invaded the cultural institutions of the West and effected a movement of museum smashing following in the footsteps of Mao's Red Guards. Mao's twenty first century museum smashers have issued a manifesto of their movement, and to hear it is to hear the sounds of violence echoing through institutions whose traditional mission is to display culturally significant objects and not to destroy them. This manifesto proclaims that a progressive museum is a woke museum which teaches the public that capitalism is a regime of discrimination against women, nonwhites, gays, lesbians, bisexuals, transgenders, Palestinians and the poor. A progressive museum is penetrated by Marxist ideologies. A progressive museum is a Marxist negation of history, art and culture. A progressive museum topples and decapitates statues of politically incorrect figures such as Christopher Columbus, who tamed continents and spread freedom into savage lands. A progressive museum demolishes statues of politically incorrct figures such as Victor Schoelcher, who championed French capitalitst colonialism in the Caribbean in its mission to abolish slavery. A progressive museum attacks with hammers Western masterpieces such as *Venus In The Mirror* by Velazquez in the name of combating global warming and greenhouse gas emissions. A progressive museum promotes black Marxist politics. A progressive museum expels and slanders world famous art scholars who champion Alexandre Lenoir, who in the nineteenth century sought to save France's historic monuments, sculptures and tombs from violence by communists in the French Revolution. A progressive museum destroys historic Western monuments, sculptures and tombs in the name of achieving tolerance and inclusion. A progressive museum only acquires art works by women, blacks and Marxist artists, and is a National Museum of Women, Blacks and Marxists in the Arts. A progressive museum has a special women's fund dedicated to the purchase of women's art. A

progressive museum promotes queer politics. A progressive museum teaches the public that capitalism is a regime of oppression and exploitation by whites. A progressive museum teaches the public that the history of capitalism is the history of black slavery. A progressive museum teaches the public that the enslavement of nonwhites by whites is morally repugnant, while the enslavement of whites by nonwhites is morally acceptable. A progressive museum teaches the public that capitalism is a plantation regime in which whites become rich on the backs of blacks. A progressive museum teaches the public that capitalism and is a portrait of evil and injustice whose colors are black slavery and black slave trading. A progressive museum teaches the public that the Enlightenment heritage of liberal freedom and the rule of law is a regime of white despotism and must be overthrown: "Although the founder of *La Tribune de l'Art*—a French online magazine on art history and Western heritage—avoided calling his book *Wokeism At The Museum*, he admits from the introduction that this is indeed his subject. Drawing on his experience of museums and the art market, Didier Rykner makes an uncompromising diagnosis of the penetration of ideologies into French museums, which rival their American and European counterparts in this regard. In Didier Rykner's eyes, wokeism is a negation of history—a desire to wipe the slate clean that translates into vandalism—recall that the term was coined by Abbe Gregoire. But unlike the revolutionaries—who protested against a power deemed despotic—statue topplers attack the effigy of men who no longer belong to current events but to history and art. Josephine de Beauharnais, Christopher Columbus do not threaten anyone—assuming they ever made racist remarks—and yet their statues have been decapitated or pulled down. In 2020, when protesters demolished the statue of Josephine in Fort-de-France, the prefect was ordered to allow it to happen. The same goes for the statue of Victor Schoelcher—who was behind the abolition of slavery. No one was prosecuted for this destruction—which the Republic therefore considers legitimate. Vandalism has become a militant gesture like any other, serving causes unrelated to art—in 2023, environmental activists—from the collective Just Stop Oil—attacked the hammer at *Venus In The Mirror* by Velazquez, at the National Gallery in London—paying little attention to the fact that the goddess was never a shareholder in Total or ExxonMobil. For the woke, history has frozen in an eternal present and all black people are still in the cotton fields—regardless of whether they are millionaires or the face of luxury brands. One thing is certain: they are as insensitive to memory—since they erase it—as they are to art—since they destroy it. Rykner recounts a chilling anecdote: Keith Christiansen, a world renowned art historian and curator at the Metropolitan Museum in New York, went through a violent storm for posting on Instagram an engraving depicting Alexandre Lenoir defending the tombs of the kings at Saint-Denis against the revolutionaries hell bent on destroying them. Recall that Lenoir—a freethinker and Freemason—defended these tombs as masterpieces of medieval, Renaissance, and classical statuary, which he would later exhibit in his *Musee des Monuments Français*. The post read: 'How many great works of art have been sacrificed in the desire to rid oneself of a past one does not approve of. And how grateful we are to people like Lenoir who realized that their value—both artistic and historical—extended beyond a decisive moment of social and political upheaval and change.' The Met curator suggested an implicit analogy with the statue destructions then in full swing in the United States. As soon as the message was published, the hostile reactions poured in, forcing Christiansen not only to delete his post and his Instagram account, but to retract it in *The New York Times*—'This post was not only inappropriate and misguided in its judgment, it was simply wrong.' This is a fine example of this marvelous 'tolerant' and 'inclusive' ideology—forcing a museum curator, who valued his position and his skin, to approve the destruction of works of art. In the United States, as in Europe, ideological biases characterize both acquisition policies and mediation mechanisms. Regarding the first point, the injunction has been imposed to purchase primarily—or even exclusively—works created by 'minorities,' women, or 'racialized' artists. In Washington, the National Museum of Women in the Arts, inaugurated in 1981, exhibits only works by women. As for the National Gallery, it has been buying

mainly works by women since 2021: some are excellent, but others are uninteresting dross. A small, very clumsy devotional painting by Caterina Pierozzi, an Italian painter from the late seventeenth century, was purchased at Drouot for €7,000 and resold to the museum for €700,000. The Rikjsmuseum has a 'women's fund' dedicated to women's art. In England, many museums devote a page on their website to the women artists in their collections." (*Activism And Art Shape The New Museum Experience* by Emmanuelle Henin). It arrested, imprisoned and executed vast swathes of the population. Its property damage included the Cemetery of Confucius, the Temple of Heaven, the Ming tombs and every last monument to freedom in the nation. Chairman Mao's Cultural Revolution has returned in the twenty first century and is being reenacted throughout the West in its multifarious museums.

OBAMA ORDERS COUP ATTEMPT AGAINST TRUMP

Lord Haw Haw. Nickname of William Joyce, Englishman who broadcast national socialist propaganda to Britain from Germany during World War II. Executed for treason in 1946. Ethel and Julius Rosenberg. Americans who spied for the Soviet Union during World War II and after, providing the Soviets with top secret information on American radar, sonar, jet propulsion engines and nuclear weapons designs. Executed for espionage in 1953. The Cambridge Five. A ring of spies in Britain, including Donald Maclean, Guy Burgess, Kim Philby, Anthony Blunt and John Cairncross, who spied for the Soviet Union from the early 1930s to the early 1950s. The list of traitors giving aid and comfort to the enemies of freedom is litany of shame in the West and has cast a dark light on institutions of trust. The traitor hates freedom and seeks to attack it, to overthrow it and to kill its leaders. In the twenty first century, something unthinkable, unimaginable and inconceivable has occurred which has filled civilized people with fear and foreboding. In the twenty first century, in the world's arsenal of freedom and lighthouse amidst raging storms of tyranny, an act of treason has been committed which has sent its perpetrators to the top of the list of the world's traitors to the cause of freedom. In the twenty first century, in the United States of America, former President Barack Obama and his national security cabinet members manufactured intelligence in an attempt to overthrow President Donald Trump after the 2016 presidential election. **Item.** On December 9, 2016, the Executive Assistant to John Clapper, Director of National Intelligence, sent an email to the nation's Intelligence Community on orders of Barack Obama to produce a false intelligence assessment claiming that Russia had interfered in the 2016 presidential election to get Trump elected. The email stated: "The assessement should detail the tools Moscow used and actions it took to influence the 2016 election. ODNI [Office of the Director of National Intelligence] will lead this effort with participation from CIA, FBI, NSA, and DHS." **Item.** Obama officials made this false claim to the media: "Russia has attempted through cyber means to interfere in, if not actively influence, the outcome of an election." **Item.** Obama officials knew that Russia had not interfered in the 2016 election. On December 7, 2016, DNI James Clapper stated: "Foreign adversaries did not use cyberattacks on election infrastructure to alter the US Presidential election outcome." **Item.** On January 6, 2017, the Intelligence Community released these false claims of interference in an official *Intelligence Community Assessment.* **Item.** The purpose of the claim was to delegitimize Trump. The pseudointelligence, including the Democrat Party funded Steele Dossier, was utilized to investigate Trump on charges of being a Russian agent, to impeach him, to jail his officials, and to cancel a free and fair election. **Item.** Politicized intelligence, weaponized intelligence, false stories of Russian hacking and government coercion of the mass media to spread antiTrump propaganda. The use of the Intelligence Community to create the hoax of Donald Trump's collusion with Russia. A conspiracy to commit treason involving the American political establishment, including Susan Rice, John Brennan, James Clapper, Andrew McCabe, John Kerry, Loretta Lynch, Victoria Nuland, Mary McCord, Avril Haines, Lisa Monaco, Ben Rhodes and Maher Bitara. A conspiracy to

prevent the peaceful transfer of power to Trump. A conspiracy directed by President Barack Obama, leading a Marxist movement to overthrow the liberal institutions championed by Trump which made America great and a beacon of hope for the oppressed: "Director of National Intelligence Tulsi Gabbard set off fireworks on Friday when she accused former president Barack Obama of organizing a *coup d'etat* against his successor. Summarizing the damning evidence in her possession, Gabbard concluded, 'These documents detail a treasonous conspiracy by officials at the highest levels of the Obama White House to subvert the will of the American people.' Treason is a capital offense with no statute of limitations. So consider how explosive Gabbard's allegations are. The senior official in charge of all American Intelligence is stating unequivocally that Obama and his loyal subordinates sabotaged the peaceful transfer of presidential power in 2016, betrayed the American people, and made war against the legitimate government of the United States. Gabbard just dropped the Mother of All Bombs on Obama's White House syndicate and the Intelligence Community. General Michael Flynn, President Trump's short lived National Security Advisor, assessed the situation succinctly: 'This is surreal.' As a target of the Deep State's treacherous lawfare operations, General Flynn views the workings in Washington, D.C., with supreme clarity: 'This is why [Gabbard] was attacked so relentlessly over the past few weeks and months. They knew if there were anyone with the guts to investigate the attempted coup, it would be her.' Who exactly formed this conspiracy to overturn the 2016 election and topple President Trump's administration? DNI Gabbard says that 'intelligence was politicized and weaponized by the most powerful people in the Obama Administration to lay the groundwork for what was essentially a years long coup against President [Trump].' Furthermore, 'Obama officials immediately leaned on their allies in the media to advance their falsehoods. Anonymous IC sources leaked classified information to the *Washington Post* and others that Russia had intervened to hack the election in Trump's favor.' Ezra Cohen, an Intelligence official who has served President Trump in various positions, highlighted the list of principals who conspired to blame Hillary Clinton's 2016 election loss on the Russians. In a pivotal National Security Council meeting chaired by Susan Rice, attendees included John Brennan, James Clapper, Andrew McCabe, John Kerry, Loretta Lynch, Victoria Nuland, Mary McCord, Avril Haines, Lisa Monaco, Ben Rhodes, and Maher Bitar (an Obama official who immediately went to work for liar Adam Schiff). That's a Who's Who list of Russia Hoax plotters." (*Obama's Real Legacy Comes Into Focus* by J. B. Shurk). **Item.** Barack Obama ordered an Intelligence Community investigation to fabricate collusion between Donald Trump and Russia. He sought to defame Donald Trump and prevent him from winning the 2016 presidential election. He called a meeting of the Intelligence Community and the Department of Justice at the White House and ordered them to reject their own findings that Trump did not collude with Russia, and to fabricate a new assessment of collusion. He ordered a fabricated dossier of collusion be used against Trump, a dossier the Intelligence Community and the Department of Justice knew to be false, presented the dossier as unchallengeable fact to him, and leaked it to the press in order to give it credibility. He weaponized the federal government against Trump, threatened Trump with reprisals if he challenged he challenged the Intelligence Community's false assessment that he was a Russian agent, and sought to rob his presidency of strength and vitality in a campaign to bring it down: "Monday on FNC's *Hannity*, Representative Jim Jordan (R-OH) weighed in on Director of National Intelligence Tulsi Gabbard's decision to send a criminal referral to the Department of Justice regarding the Obama administration's alleged role in instigating the Trump-Russia collusion investigation. Jordan told host Sean Hannity the circumstances were 'worse than we thought.' 'It all started back in 2016, and it wasn't just to keep him from winning the election in 2016, it was to undermine his presidency after he had already won,' Jordan explained. 'I want you to think of three dates in the interim between election day and inauguration day. Back in 2016, 2017. December 9, Obama calls a meeting in the White House. All the intelligence communities there, plus the Justice Department. You got Lynch, you got Comey, you got Clapper, you

got Brennan—they're all there. Obama says, 'We're not going to go with the intelligence community assessment. We're going to put that on hold,' and they put together a new one. Three and a half weeks later, January 3, Chuck Schumer's on one of the Sunday shows, and he says, 'If you mess with the intelligence community,' going—talking to President elect Donald Trump, 'If you mess with the intelligence community, they have six ways from Sunday to get back at you.' He continued, 'Three days later, Sean, three days later, January at Trump Tower, the intelligence community—Comey, Brennan, Clapper go up there to give President Trump what he believes is the defensive briefing all incoming presidents get. So, he's expecting just the normal briefing. Instead, it's a setup. They go up there and brief him, and then they tell him about the dossier, the dossier that they already know is false. And then it gets leaked to the press and thereby given it credibility when the press reports that Jim Comey, FBI director, briefed Donald Trump on the Russian dossier. It was all garbage. But it was all designed to undermine. This is where it began. The whole weaponization of government began here.' 'And to your first point, Sean, you're right,' he added. 'We had figured this out several years ago and we're covering this and the only thing we had wrong was it was worse than we thought. That's the only thing we get wrong when we dig into these investigations. It's always worse than we thought.' " (*Jim Jordan: Circumstances Regarding Russia Collusion Hoax 'Worse Than We Thought'* by Jeff Poor). **Item.** It is the official office of the president of the United States. Located in the West Wing of the White House, it is the preeminent symbol of the American presidency, the office of major presidential addresses, the office in which John Kennedy addressed the nation on the Cuban Missile Crisis, Ronald Reagan addressed the nation on the Space Shuttle *Challenger* disaster, and George Bush addressed the nation on the September 11, 2001 terror attacks. It is the Oval Office, and in this storied working space, a shining cultural history was disgraced in 2016. This is the office in which President Barack Obama devised a plan to reverse the outcome of the 2016 presidential election. The office in which he ordered the manufacturing of intelligence alleging collusion between Donald Trump and Russia and the launching of an attempt to overthrow the the United States government. The office in which he ordered the leaking of false statements to the media alleging Russian interference on Trump's side during the election campaign. The office in which he sought to nullify democracy by the use of that which special counsel John Durham stated was "raw, unanalyzed, and uncorroborated intelligence." The office in which he committed an act of treason without precedent in the nation's history.

RED FLAGS ON EU FARMS

It is a fact that by February 20 of this year 50 percent of the peasant farms throughout the USSR had been collectivized. That means that by February 20, 1930, we had overfulfilled the five year plan of collectivization by more than 100 per cent. Some of our comrades have become dizzy with success and for the moment have lost clearness of mind and sobriety of vision. (*Dizzy With Success* by Joseph Stalin, *Pravda*, March 2, 1930). Agricultural collectivization. The campaign to eliminate private landholdings and private labor and enslave them in state controlled farms commenced in the Soviet Union after the Communist Revolution of 1917. The Marxist program of mass murder and class genocide is proclaimed by Stalin in 1929: "Now we have the opportunity to carry out a resolute offensive against private farmers, break their resistance, eliminate them as a class and replace their production with the production of collective farms." (*Stalin: A Biography* by Robert Conquest). Three decades after the fall of the Soviet Union, communist agriculture has returned to the world. It has left its former Asian home in Russia and set up shop in Europe. In the twenty first century, in a horror of horrors and an obscenity which can scarcely be expressed, the birthplace of freedom in the West has adopted communist agriculture and eliminated free farming. In the twenty first century, the European Union has acceded to the demands of the number mass gutter religion of our time, a religion of the

discontented filled with hatred toward the Enlightenment, and established a common Marxist agricultural policy. Listen to it and hear the death bell toll for 1000 years of free soil in Europe. **State control.** The new EU policy proclaims that a progressive society establishes state control over farms in the name of a European Green Deal. In the name of reducing nitrogen emissions. In the name of eliminating polluting farms. In the name of meeting environmental targets. In the name of reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In the name of saving the planet. In the name of marching in lockstep with green ideology. In the name of returning farmland to nature. In the name of producing food without man made fertilizers or pesticides. In the name of environmental fanaticism. In the name of combating far right parties. In the name of social justice and ecological sustainability: "In May, Polish farmers gathered in the northwestern city of Szczecin to express their fury at the EU's agricultural policy. Among other concerns, they demanded that the Polish government scrap newly imposed, overbearing regulatory restrictions and refuse to cave to the EU's environmental mandates. This was in many ways a continuation of the 2024 protests, when Rural Solidarity, the largest farmers' union in Poland, staged a series of protests and convoys against the European Green Deal. Some of the biggest farmers' protests began in the Netherlands in 2019, after the Council of State, the country's highest administrative court, ruled that the Netherlands was in a socalled nitrogen crisis. It accused the Dutch government of failing to adequately cut nitrogen emissions to EU approved levels. The government, it warned, would have to take 'drastic measures' to reduce emissions, particularly in the agriculture industry. In order to do this, the government planned to buy out the most polluting farms to shut them down. Around half of the country's livestock would also have to be culled. In response, furious farmers took to the roads. In October 2019, thousands of tractors flocked to Dutch motorways in the direction of the Hague, causing the worst traffic jams in the country's history. But this was only the beginning. Demonstrations continued throughout the next few years. Convoys of tractors parked across supermarket distribution hubs and cut off ferry ports. Farmers dumped mountains of manure and torched hay tables on major motorways. Incredibly, the Dutch government still refused to back down. In 2022, it was revealed that around a third of Dutch farms would have to close to meet the EU imposed targets. And in 2023, the government produced a list of roughly 3000 peak polluter farms that would have to be forcibly closed. Similar, albeit less dramatic, protests were staged in Ireland in 2023, when the agriculture minister admitted that, in order to meet the targets set out in the European Green Deal, farmers would have to cull around 200,000 cows. This was one of the few realistic options to allow Ireland to meet the goal of reducing greenhouse gas emissions by 55% by 2030. In other words, the Irish government was seriously considering needlessly slaughtering animals to 'save the planet.' These are all just one slice of the EU's broader attack on farmers. Its 2020 Farm to Fork scheme ordered member states to, by 2030, set aside a tenth of farmland for nature, convert at least one quarter of holdings to organic produce, cut fertilizer use by 20% and to halve chemical pesticide use. It's simply baffling that governments feel emboldened to treat farmers this way, on the say so of the EU. After all, agriculture is vital to many of these nations' economies. The Netherlands is actually the second largest exporter of food in the entire world. And food, drink, and horticulture products make up almost 10 percent of Ireland's total goods exports—far ahead of any other sector. While agriculture makes up around 3% of Poland's total output, it still employs 1.2 million people. In the EU as a bloc, almost nine million people—or 4% of the entire EU workforce—earn their living directly from a farm. This means that any changes the EU foists upon the agriculture industry, be it the result of Net Zero or budget changes, can be catastrophic for farmers across the continent. Presumably, it's difficult to understand the importance of dusk till dawn, dirty, hands on labor when you're only brush with soil is the potted plants in your climate controlled office in Brussels. Yet the decisions drafted by out of touch Eurocrats have the power to wipe out not just livelihoods, but also generations' of family legacies. On a more practical note, the EU's careless treatment of farmers also threatens the continent's food security.

Where does the EU think dinner will come from if Europe's farms have all been driven out of business or forcibly shut down? The bloc already imports 171.8 billion euros worth of food and agricultural goods a year—an 8% jump since last year. If the EU is intent on forcing its remaining farmers off the land, it will swap local produce for long, fragile supply chains that can snap in a single season of drought or at the outbreak of war. As many of the protesting farmers' placards remind us: *No farmers, no food, no future*. Why, then, do Europe's elites refuse to listen? Why do they insist on relentlessly targeting the people who put food on our—and their—plates? A large part of it can be explained by the European establishment's fanatical adherence to green ideology. But where the latest budget restructuring is concerned, it could be that the urban elites really are just that detached from how the real world works and how real people live. Many have undoubtedly convinced themselves that their grass fed prosciutto and organic kale come from the supermarket, rather than from the land—and from the hard work of people who likely vote for scary 'far right' parties. Hopefully today's protest in Brussels will force our arrogant elites to pay attention to the plight of the farmers, before empty shelves do. The EU needs to start listening. Or else Europe goes hungry." (*The EU's War On Farmers* by Lauren Smith). **State control.** Look at the European Union in the twenty first century and see it advocate state control over farming in the name of reversing global climate change. In the name of reducing carbon dioxide emissions and stopping the polluters of society: "Thousands of Dutch farmers drove their tractors on Tuesday to a huge protest against government climate policies, causing the country' biggest ever traffic jams, officials said. The farmers traveled from all over the country to The Hague for the four wheeled demonstration, saying they had been unfairly blamed for emissions that cause climate change. The tailbacks caused by the tractors were a total of 1,136 kilometers long, making it the 'busiest ever morning rush hour,' according to Dutch traffic organization the ANWB. 'We get the feeling that all these climate measures are falling on our shoulders. And that annoys us,' said Sander Pereboom, a 37 year old farmer. We are always portrayed in a bad light as the polluters of the Netherlands. But in our sector we are already doing our best to cut CO2 emissions," said Ellen Heemskerk, a 50 year old farmer." (*Tractor Protest Sparks 'Biggest' Dutch Traffic Jam*, Agence France Presse, October 1, 2019). **State control.** Look at the European Union in the twenty first century and see it advocate state control over farming in the name of making farms fair, healthy and environmentally friendly. In the name of reducing excessive consumption of natural resources. In the name of stopping biodiversity loss. In the name of stopping both malnutrition and stopping people from eating excessively. In the name of ensuring justice for farmers: "The Farm to Fork Strategy is at the heart of the European Green Deal, aiming to make food systems fair, healthy and environmentally friendly. Food systems cannot be resilient to crises such as the COVID-19 pandemic if they are not sustainable. We need to redesign our food systems which today account for nearly one third of global GHG emissions, consume large amounts of natural resources, result in biodiversity loss and negative health impacts (due to both under and over nutrition) and do not allow fair economic returns and livelihoods for all actors, in particular for primary producers." (*Farm To Fork Strategy*, EU website). **State control.** 1920s Soviet propaganda poster featuring collective farm workers singing and driving a tractor, titled *Drive To The Collective Farm!* 1930s Soviet propaganda painting titled *Collective Farm Woman With Pumpkins*. East German President Wilhelm Pieck advocates land collectivization under the slogan, *Junker lands into farmers' hands!* 1962 Romanian postage stamp commemorates *The completion of land collectivization*. In the twenty first century, marching under the banners of green regulations, emissions targets and Nature Restoration, communist agriculture has invaded the soil of Europe.

UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC, COMMUNIST ORGANIZATION

It was founded on November 16, 1945 and reaches around the world, with 53 regional field offices, 199 national commissions, 194 member states, 12 associate members and partners in the private sector.

Founded as the successor to the League of Nations' Intellectual Committee on Scientific Cooperation, the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization presents a study in contrasts with its predecessor, for since its founding it has disgraced its stated mission to promote world peace and security through international cooperation in education, arts, science and culture. It has disgraced its mission because its parent organization, founded as the successor to the League of Nations, is a sham. At 760 United Nations Plaza in New York City, the United Nations was founded with the Soviet Union on its Security Council, to which the council later added Maoist China, and presently serves as the world voice and forum of an axis power ruling the Western cultural and political establishment. It serves as the world voice and forum of Marxism and Islam. Like parent, like child. Ruled by a General Assembly and Security Council which since its founding have counted communist mass murderers and other rogue states among its members, states which had been expelled by the League of Nations, the United Nations is reflected in every one of its special agencies. Headquartered in Paris with a worldwide staff of over 2300, UNESCO is a mirror and representation of the United Nations and suffers from the same zero credibility. Ostensibly devoted to the advancement of science, culture and education throughout the world, UNESCO is a manifesto of antiEnlightenment and a column of subversion of the free world. Listen to its manifesto and hear a UN megaphone blast out partisan politics and advocate the destruction of liberal traditions and institutions. **UNESCO.** Its manifesto proclaims that a progressive educational, scientific and cultural organization promotes Marxist and Islamist culture and social causes. It advocates Marxism in the name of achieving sustainable development. It implements a Marxist and Muslim ideological agenda. It is a UN branch of the State of Palestine. It is opposed to the existence of Israel, America and the West: "Today, the United States informed Director-General Audrey Azoulay of the United States' decision to withdraw from UNESCO. Continued involvement in UNESCO is not in the national interest of the United States. UNESCO works to advance divisive social and cultural causes and maintains an outsized focus on the UN's Sustainable Development Goals, a globalist, ideological agenda for international development at odds with our America First foreign policy. UNESCO's decision to admit the 'State of Palestine' as a Member State is highly problematic, contrary to US policy, and contributed to the proliferation of antiIsrael rhetoric within the organization. Continued US participation in international organizations will focus on advancing American interests with clarity and conviction." (*The United States Withdraws From The United Nations Educational, Scientific And Cultural Organization (UNESCO)*, July 22, 2025, United States State Department). **UNESCO.** Look at UNESCO in the twenty first century and hear it proclaim that a progressive educational, scientific and cultural organization engages in Marxist political activism. It promotes political authoritarianism and the suppression of antiMarxist views. It promotes the policing of the internet for antiMarxist views. It promotes the banning of antiMarxist views on the grounds that they are forms of disinformation, deceit and hate speech, including racism and misogyny. It bans antiMarxists on the grounds that they are dark, regressive, hate inspired insects. It promotes Marxist conspiracy theories. It engages in the harassment and intimidation of antiMarxists by publicly revealing personal information about them. It promotes Marxist leaders such as Brazilian President Luiz Inacio Lula da Silva. It promotes the program of genocide, imprisonment, enslavement and sterilization against the Uyghur, Kazakh and Kyrgyz communities in East Turkistan and the destruction of their cultural sites, crimes committed by Chinese leader Xi Jinping. It promotes the banning of conservative and libertarian views. It promotes the treatment of antiMarxists in the manner in which Marxist Brazilian President Lula has treated antiMarxist former President Jair Bolsonaro, banning them from social media, forcing them to wear ankle monitors, depriving them of their passports, and banning them from running for public office. It promotes a global regime of censorship of antiMarxist dissent. It promotes the establishment of an Internet for Marxist Trust which will police cyberspace and expunge the sites, pages and voices of freedom. It promotes the repeal of freedom of

speech and expression in the name of Marxist ideological unity and the rights of society. It promotes the weaponization of law enforcement agencies to silence the critics of Marxist gender, race and class politics. It promotes the establishment of a Marxist, global regulatory empire to ensure that antiMarxist views are not expressed or heard in any country or corner of the world, and Western liberal civilization has been smashed forever: "The administration of President Donald Trump announced on Tuesday that it would withdraw from the United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), a global body most commonly known for keeping a catalogue of the world's most culturally significant places. UNESCO describes itself as a platform that 'promotes cooperation in education, science, culture and communication to foster peace worldwide.' While its World Heritage program is the most prominent initiative, UNESCO has steadily increased its political activism in the past half decade, becoming a platform for authoritarian world leaders seeking to silence dissent online. Of particular note is an event in early 2023–months before former President Joe Biden undid Trump's first exit from the agency– called the Internet for Trust Conference, in which UNESCO propaganda compared internet users sharing political opinions it disapproved of to 'insects' and called for the repression of 'hate speech, misogyny, suppression of free speech, doxxing and conspiracy theories.' The director-general of UNESCO, Audrey Azoulay, lamented America's exit from her organization on Tuesday, complaining that it 'contradicts the fundamental principles of multilateralism' and undermines the work that UNESCO is allegedly doing to help American groups in academia and cultural restoration. Azoulay touted the work that her agency is allegedly doing to help educational initiatives and promote global legal standards on technology. Absent from her statement is the work UNESCO has undertaken to undermine freedom of speech around the world and its alliances with authoritarian leaders, including socialist Brazilian President Luiz Inacio Lula da Silva and genocidal Chinese dictator Xi Jinping. Azoulay herself made a friendly visit to Beijing in September 2023 in which she met with Xi and applauded his work to bolster 'heritage protection'–while his government systematically endeavored to erase the Uyghur people of East Turkistan not just through genocide, but through the destruction of critical cultural sites. Azoulay, according to Chinese state media, described herself as 'satisfied' with China's cultural heritage protection and expressed enthusiasm about 'cooperation with China further in the fields of cultural heritage protection, science, culture and technology.' China is currently engaging in a genocide of the Uyghur, Kazakh, Kyrgyz and other Turkic communities of occupied East Turkistan. The genocide has taken the form of the mass forced sterilizations of members of the group and the imprisonment and enslavement of millions of people in East Turkistan. It has also involved actions directly within the purview of what UNESCO is tasked with opposing, namely the destruction of cultural heritage. The UN agency took a major step towards expanding its control over global speech in February 2023 with the notorious Internet for Trust conference. In a promotional video, social media users using the internet without global legal restrictions were described as 'insects thriving in the dark.' The guest of honor of that event was Brazilian President Lula, who has made silencing conservative voices in his country through the brutal use of law enforcement a priority of his third term in office. At press time, his government is attempting to silence his predecessor, former President Jair Bolsonaro, through a series of spurious legal cases and measures including banning him from social media, forcing him to wear an ankle monitor, stripping him of his passport, and banning him from running for public office. Lula made clear during the UNESCO conference that global censorship of political dissent was a priority for his legacy.' On the one hand, it is necessary to guarantee the exercise of individual freedom of expression, a fundamental human right,' Lula declared. 'On the other hand, we need to ensure a collective right: the right of society to have access to trustworthy information, and not lies and disinformation.' Azoulay also used the platform to state that individual countries taking measures to suppress free speech, as Lula has, was not enough. 'If these regulatory initiatives are developed in isolation, with each country working in their own corner, they

are doomed to fail,' she asserted. 'Information disruption is by definition a global problem, so our reflections must take place at the global scale.' " (*Trump Exits 'Divisive' UNESCO After Body Campaigns For Global Online Censorship* by Frances Martel). **UNESCO.** *Octopus rubescens.* Red octopus. The most commonly occurring octopus in North America, reaching from the Gulf of California to the Gulf of Alaska. During the Cold War, the red octopus was a symbol of the international communist movement, whose headquarters in Moscow controlled tentacles reaching into every nation on earth. For the past eighty years, another communist octopus has been operating out of New York City. For the past eighty years, a United Nations octopus has been controlling a limb grasping and devouring the cultures of the world. This limb is called UNESCO.

THE LEAGUE OF NATIONS AND THE UNITED NATIONS: A STUDY IN CONTRASTS

November 30, 1939. Soviet troops invade Finland and establish a puppet state, the Finnish Democratic Republic, to send Finland into the Soviet slave empire. Facing superior Soviet tanks, artillery and manpower, the Finns put up a brave resistance in defense of their freedom. The Soviets bomb Helsinki, killing hundreds of people and destroying fifty buildings. In March 1940, the Soviets cease their aggression and sign a peace treaty with Finland. The Finns emerge victorious and retain their sovereignty. On December 14, 1939, the League of Nations expels the Soviets for waging aggressive war. Listen to its words: "Council of the League of Nations, Resolution. December 14, 1939. The Council, Having taken cognizance of the resolution adopted by the Assembly on December 14th, 1939, regarding the appeal of the Finnish Government; 1. Associates itself with the condemnation by the Assembly of the action of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics against the Finnish State: and 2. For the reasons set forth in the resolution of the Assembly, In virtue of Article 16, paragraph 4, of the Covenant Finds that, by its act, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics has placed itself outside the League of Nations. It follows that the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics is no longer a Member of the League." (*Report And Resolution Adopted By The Assembly Of The League Of Nations*, December 14, 1939). Founded on January 10, 1920 by the Paris Peace Conference which ended World War I, the League of Nations was the world's first intergovernmental organization dedicated to enforcing the rule of law and outlawing aggressive war. When it was founded, Russia did not join because the League had condemned it as an outlaw regime. Russia sought to establish a world order diametrically opposed to that sought by the League, an order of world proletarian dictatorship under the command of Moscow, crushing the liberal traditions and institutions of the Enlightenment. On January 8, 1918, in the aftermath of World War I, President Woodrow Wilson issued a plan to outlaw aggressive war. This plan was called the Fourteen Points, and the fourteenth point advocated the establishment of the League of Nations. As the founder of the League, Wilson won the Nobel Peace Prize in 1919. Under the leadership of Vladimir Lenin, Russia had withdrawn from World War I because it no longer wanted to defend Western liberal order against Germany as the liberal Kerensky government did, and this withdrawal excluded it from joining the League of Nations under the Treaty of Versailles. The League of Nations condemned the onslaught of communist land and air forces against Finland. It condemned communist bombardments of a free nation. It condemned the communist violation of the League's Covenant against aggression. It condemned the communist war against a Western liberal democracy. It condemned communist troops invading and occupying a civilized society. It condemned a communist government seeking to Sovietize a free government. It condemned a communist republic seeking to Sovietize a free republic. It condemned the establishment of a communist puppet regime, the Democratic Republic of Finland, supplanting the free, legitimate government of Finland. It condemned the communist campaign to bury Finland and the West in a grave marked *International Communist Power*: "5) The invasion of Finland by the land forces and the bombardments carried out by the naval

and air forces of Soviet Russia are incompatible with the Pact for the Renunciation of War of August 27th, 1928, and with the provisions of Article 12 of the Covenant of the League of Nations. 6) It is impossible to argue that the operations of the Soviet forces in Finland do not constitute resort to war within the meaning of the Pact of Paris or Article 12 of the Covenant of the League of Nations. Finland and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics are bound by the Convention for the Definition of Aggression signed at London on July 3rd, 1933. According to Article II of this Convention the aggressor in an armed conflict shall be considered to be that State which is the first to invade by its armed forces, with or without a declaration of war, the territory of another State or to attack by its land, naval or air forces, with or without a declaration of war, the territory, vessels or aircraft of another state. Under the terms of Article III 'no political, military, economic or other consideration may serve as an excuse or justification for the aggression referred to in Article II.' The order to enter Finland was given to the Soviet troops on the ground of 'further armed provocation.' The reference was to frontier incidents or alleged frontier incidents. In the Annex, however, to Article II of the Convention, it is declared that no act of aggression within the meaning of Article II of the Convention can be justified by frontier incidents not forming any of the cases of aggression specified in Article II. 7) After having broken off diplomatic relations with the Finnish Government and rejected the good offices of the United States Government, the Soviet Government refused to send representatives to the Council or Assembly, on the ground that it was not in a state of war with Finland and was not threatening the Finnish people with war. This affirmation was based, inter alia, on the fact that the Soviet government maintained peaceful relations with the 'Democratic Republic of Finland' and that it had signed with the latter, a Pact of Assistance and Friendship 'settling all the questions which the Soviet government had fruitlessly discussed with the delegates of the former Finnish government, now divested of its power.' It follows from these findings that the Soviet government has violated, not only its special political agreements with Finland, but also Article 12 of the Covenant of the League of Nations and the Pact of Paris." (*Report And Resolution Adopted By The Assembly Of The League Of Nations*, December 14, 1939). In addition to combating communism in its expulsion of the Soviet Union, the League of Nations defended the cause of freedom in its League Mandate System, in which the League gave Western powers mandates or commands to surrender their colonies if and when they had become morally and culturally capable of supporting liberal institutions: "When they have reached a stage of development where their existence as independent nations can be provisionally recognzed." **United Nations.** On April 19, 1946, night followed daylight in the West and daylight was never to return. On this date, the League of Nations was dissolved and its successor took its place. The United Nations was an organization founded in infamy, an infamy which reflected the West in a stage of disintegration. The West's embrace of communism beginning at the dawn of the twentieth century was the greatest moral and political scandal in modern times. The number one mass gutter religion in the West swept away freedom and established welfare regulatory regimes in nation after nation. On June 26, 1945, the communist cultural and political establishments running the West set up the United Nations. The record of its founding will live forever in the annals of treason. A member of the Allied Powers, the Soviet Union helped to found the United Nations at the Tehran Conference in 1943 and the Dumbarton Oaks Conference in 1944. Franklin Roosevelt convinced Joseph Stalin to join the United Nations. He proclaimed that Four Powers should run the United Nations: the United States, Britain, the Soviet Union, and China. The Soviets were given permanent membership on the Security Council with veto power. The West's surrender of its colonies beginning in the late 1940s established a large population of independent states which would aligned themselves with the Soviet Union. The United Nations soon changed from a Western majority to a communist majority. On October 25, 1971, United Nations Resolution 2758 recognized communist China as the sole legitimate government in China and replaced the free Republic of China with communist China in the General Assembly and on the Security

Council. In the years since its founding, the United Nations has been a proSoviet, proArab, proIslam, procommunist, anticapitalist, antiliberal, antiWestern organization, sounding the death knell for the legacies of the Enlightenment. Listen to it proclaim that capitalist Israel illegally occupies communist and Muslim Palestine. A progressive world peace organization is an antisemitic, proHamas organization. Israel's liberal, Western style state and economy must be destroyed. A progressive world peace organization documents human rights violations committed by Israel and other Western nations and by these alone, and gives a free pass to nonWestern nations. A progressive world peace organization denies that Israel has a right to self defense on the grouunds that it is a capitalist society. A progressive world peace organization is run by genocidal regimes such as Ethiopia, China, Cuba and Congo. A progressive world peace organization promotes Islamic jihad, terror and atrocities. A progressive world peace organization condemns liberal democracy as the voice of an international Jewish lobby and conspiracy. A progressive world peace organization is a conference chaired by Marxists and Muslims for the condemnation of Israel and the destruction of Western liberal civilization: "United Nations Special Rapporteur on the Occupied Palestinian Territories Francesca Albanese made a public appearance on Tuesday in Bogota, Colombia, following the State Department announcing sanctions on her for years of proHamas, antisemitic rhetoric, delivering a speech calling for the destruction of Israel’s economy. Albanese holds a unique role at the UN in which she is tasked with documenting potential human rights abuses in Gaza and the West Bank, under the authority of the UN Human Rights Council, which has struggled for years to overcome the influence of the nefarious dictatorships that make up its membership. As of October, the Council includes such repressive and actively genocidal states as Ethiopia, China, Cuba, and the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC). The special rapporteur has distinguished herself in her role through outlandish vitriolic statements against Israel and overt support for jihadist terrorist organizations such as Hamas. Albanese refused to explicitly condemn the Hamas atrocities of October 7, 2023, and instead insisted that context was necessary and Israel did not have a right to self defense in response. Albanese also denied that the October 7 attack was antisemitic. Prior to the October 7 attacks, which she has since used to justify more condemnations of Israel, Albanese declared that the United States was 'subjugated by the Jewish lobby' and attended a Hamas conference, encouraging the jihadists to 'resist.' " (*Sanctioned Antisemitic UN Rapporteur Resurfaces In Latin America Demanding Destruction Of Israeli Economy* by Frances Martel). **The League of Nations and the United Nations.** On April 19, 1946, antimatter collided with matter and the world exploded. On this day the world's greatest organization for the defense of Western liberal freedom, the League of Nations, was replaced by the world's greatest organization for the defense of communism, the United Nations. On this day, an organization which in the ensuing years would devote itself to the promotion of Marxism, Islam and antiliberal creeds of all stripes and varieties appeared amidst the rising tides civilizational collapse.

THE EMPTY SPACES OF FEDERICO FELLINI

Objects and their functions no longer had any significance. All I perceived was perception itself, the hell of forms and figures devoid of human emotion and detached from the reality of my unreal environment. I was an instrument in a virtual world that constantly renewed its own meaningless image in a living world that was itself perceived outside of nature. And since the appearance of things was no longer definitive but limitless, this paradise like awareness freed me from the reality external to my self. The fire and the rose, as it were, became one. (*I'm A Born Liar: A Fellini Lexicon* by Federico Fellini and Damian Pettigrew). A world without physical objects. A virtual world. A meaningless world. A world in which objective reality is held to be a mere appearance. A world outside of nature and existence. A world inside of human consciousness in which perception is the perception of nothing.

One of the most influential filmmakers of all time, Federico Fellini brought new words into the English language. *Fellinian* and *Felliniesque* are synonyms for the formalist psychosis which has darkened motion pictures for decades and lowered the curtain on the glorious seventh art. He is lauded by the Western cultural establishment. His best known films, *I Vitelloni* (1953), *La Strada* (1954), *Nights of Cabiria* (1957), *La Dolce Vita* (1960), *8½* (1963), *Juliet of the Spirits* (1965), *Fellini Satyricon* (1969), *Roma* (1972), *Amarcord* (1973) and *Fellini's Casanova*, are held to be among the greatest films of all time. The establishment has given him its highest artistic honors: Academy Awards, the Lifetime Achievement Award, the Palme d'Or at the Cannes Film Festival, and the Career Golden Lion at the Venice International Film Festival. Inspired by Immanuel Kant's doctrine that judgments of beauty are purely subjective and art consists in "the free play of pure forms" without interest in or desire for reality, and without content, objects of representation of any kind, a doctrine which bore bitter fruit in the twentieth century, the films of Federico Fellini are monuments to the destruction of the arts which commenced during the final age of the West. A look inside the world of Federico Fellini is a look inside the mental disorder of a man, a society, a culture and the eighteenth century philosophy of Kant which give early voice to these. A look inside an antiuniverse emerging from the devil's womb of antireason. A look at what happens to the arts when human sanity and the perception of reality are rejected in favor of arbitrary, uncontrolled, disordered self expression. **Federico Fellini.** The world of Federico Fellini is a world of excessive interest in the contents of one's own consciousmess. A world of mindless experimentation at the expense of order and logic. A world of random images without coherence or comprehensibility. A world of scenes which are scenes of nothing. A world of representations which are representations of nothing. A world of thoughts and sensations signifying nothing. A world of mystical experiences which are experiences of nothing. A world of dragons, unicorns, and magic black and white. A world of nonrealism, antirealism and neoschizophrenia. A world of symbols which are symbols of nothing. A world of stories which are stories of nothing. A world without narrative structure of any kind. A world without sequences, organizations or progressions of events. A world of ideas which are ideas of nothing. A world of unending shock and scandal. A world of actions unified by nothing. A world created by a director condemning reason as a chain and shackle, holding mental soundness a prisoner of mental disintegration, and filming states of confusion, unclarity, uncertainty, noncausality, nonlinearity, contradiction and the end stage of civilization: "Criticizing Federico Fellini feels like sacrilege. The heavyweight director has rave reviews, box office figures and a long-lasting career that spanned more than forty years in his corner. On his office shelf (if indeed he had one, and it’s not difficult to imagine he would have) resides–amongst others–four Academy Awards (one honorary), a Palme d’Or and a BAFTA. His name is generally prefixed with lofty adjectives: ‘great,’ ‘influential,’ ‘visionary,’ ‘flamboyant.' Well here’s one you hear less often: OVERRATED. And another: NARCISSTIC. *La Dolce Vita* (1960) was visionary, and ushered in a personal and expressive style of filmmaking that finally eclipsed the neorealism genre that had dominated Italian cinema since WWII. Yet in *81/2*, Fellini took experimentation to the point of incomprehension. The juxtaposition of dream, vision and fantasy make it impossible for viewers (and by that, I do mean myself) to understand what’s going on: to borrow from a *New York Times* reviewer, ‘it has no more plot than a horse race.’ Narrative structure might not be the only prerequisite for great filmmaking, but it certainly aids it. But *8½* is also a let down because it’s so easy to ignore incomprehension in lieu of the beautiful images that are–in truth–little more than a series of tableaux with a limited common thread. The symbolism is too tangential–by prioritizing imagery over ideas, Fellini appealed to an increasingly limited audience. Perhaps, then, I dislike this particular Fellini because I don’t understand it. The fault lies with my imagination, my need to fit events into neat linear boxes. Except–does it? *8½* is a film about filmmaking, told from the director’s point of view. Guido (played by Marcelo Mastroianni) is clearly meant to represent Fellini, so who better to explain away the confusion than the director himself? Well,

no one, apart from the fact that he–frustratingly–refused to answer questions about the meanings of his imagery: 'Meaning, always meaning! When someone asks, ‘What do you mean in this picture?,’ it shows he is a prisoner of intellectual, sentimental shackles. Without his meaning, he feels vulnerable.' " (*Federico Fellini: The Argument Against*, January 18, 2015). **Federico Fellini.** The world of Federico Fellini is a world dull, boring and tiresome due to its nonplots. A world of segments without connections of any kind. A world in which nothing happens. A world which is strange, unreal, hallucinatory and directionless. A world in which characters are confused, disordered and unable to think or react. A world which sane people cannot relate to or understand in any manner whatever and from which they flee in horror: "So far my experience with Fellini's movies has been very odd. I started with *La Dolce Vita* and found the experience very tedious. The movie looked fantastic, there's no doubt about it but I couldn't connect to any characters. As a whole the movie felt disjointed, just a collection of segmemts about bored rich people and their lavish lifestyles. I honestly found it hard to finish it but I always finish movies so I did. This made me think that Fellini's movies are just not for me. I decided to wait a few months and treat myself to the supposed masterpiece, the one that everyone always praises as his ultimate movie, which is of course *8 1/2*. And unfortunately, I couldn't stand the movie. The 139 minute runtime felt like 278 minutes. It was *La Dolce Vita* all over again. Segments about rich people not doing much of anything, this time mixed with some surrealist sequences. Once again, the movie looked great but lacked any substance that I could connect to. Now, I'm not against movies with little plot and just characters hanging out like Dazed and Confused or one of my favorites from the 2010s, *Once Upon A Time In Hollywood*. The problem for me doesn't lie in the lack of plot but the lack of interesting characters and coherence to the events. I couldn't understand what was happening. I tried to just go with it and not think about it too much but that didn't help as I didn't enjoy the quirks of the movie in the slightest. I would say I liked it even less than *La Dolce Vita.*" (*My Experience With Fellini Movies And The Very Mixed Feelings That I Have About Them,* reddit.com). **Federico Fellini.** The world of Federico Fellini is a world incapable of being justified on intellectual grounds. A world in which noonday reality is sacrificed on the midnight altars of fantasy. A world without stories to tell or things which happen. A world in which film projectionists project nothing. A world which is impossible to rationally understand. A world of pure subjectivity and streams of sensations. A world of visual expressions visualing nothing. A world emanating from the unconscious. A world of will, freedom, decision making and determination unconstrained by reason or morality. A world of recklessness, violence and irresponsibility. A world of silence and emptiness on the still plains of nonexistence: "At least half of all filmmakers asked about the directors they most admire include Federico Fellini in their top three. And he seems to have a particular fascination for purely commercial directors--perhaps because his was the cinema of visually expressed emotions rather than intellectual rigor. 8 1/2 is probably the most potent movie about filmmaking, within which fantasy and reality are mixed without obfuscation. Its title refers to the fact that, up to then, Fellini had made seven features and two episodes in composite films that added up to about a half. Its central character is Guido (Marcello Mastroianni), a filmmaker based partly on Fellini. He's a successful director, with everything in place to make another hit but with no actual story to tell--perhaps as Fellini felt after the success of *La Dolce Vita*. When it came out, the film seemed incomprehensible to many who had hitherto loved his work. In one Italian town, the audience attacked the projectionists. As far as Fellini was concerned, however, *8 1/2* was 'not at all difficult to understand. Later, critics referred to Jung, Kierkegaard, Proust, Gide, Pirandello, Bergman and Resnais in burrowing for his influences, and Alberto Moravia insisted Guido was an Italian version of Joyce's Leopold Bloom. Fellini strenuously denied all this, though it is true that many others were making subjective films around the same time, notably Bergman (*The Silence*) and Kazan (*The Anatolian Smile*). 'Certain issues are in the air,' was all Fellini would say." (*Federico Fellini: 8 ½* by Derek Malcolm). **Federico Fellini.** On October 31, 1973, Federico Fellini died in Rome

at the age of 73. He was raised as a Catholic but died in the arms of another religion. This was the religion of subjective filmmaking screening nonthoughts, nonpercetions, nonactions, nonidentity and nondetermination. The pilot for the subjective nonfilm was produced by Immanuel Kant in the eighteenth century. In Fellini's grave, haunted by the spirit of Kant, are buried the arts and culture of the West.

AMERICA-ROME-WELFARE STATE

Rome. In its heyday, it was the freest empire in history and spread freedom to the barbarian lands it conquered. But in its stage of decline, it surrendered to the voices of the mob led by ceasars. It abandoned its hallowed Senate, Constitution and Republic demanding individual responsibility and guaranteeing the right to property under the rule of law, and became a welfare state. This was ancient Rome. Supplanting the right to property with the right to welfare, supplanting the right to keep what one earns with the right of the state to rob Peter to pay Paul, late stage Rome established socialism as official state policy. It expanded the *Cura Annonae*, in which the government procured and distributed grain for the poor, to cover millions of more people, and the grain dole would now consume one third of the total grain imported by Rome. During the reign of Septimius Severus, 193–211 AD, the grain dole was replaced by a bread dole and an olive oil dole. During the reign of Aurelian, 270–275 AD, a wine dole and a pork dole were added to welfare benefits. In addition to food welfare, the government provided free entertainment to the poor in the form of *ludi*, or shows and games, staged in *circuses*, or open air venues. The bread, wine, pork, olive oil and public circuses doles continued right up to the official dissolution of the Roman Empire in 476 AD, at which time Rome had long since ceased to be Rome, and had become everything the old Rome could never have become. Rejecting pagan reason, late stage Rome had accepted Christianity, barbarism, mob rule and welfare statism. In the twentieth century, 1500 years after the Classical world had descended into fields of ruination, the freest nation on earth, which had fought and won world wars in defense of freedom and become the world's arsenal in defense of freedom, followed in the footsteps of ancient Rome and descended into a welfare state. In the twentieth century, 1500 years after the Classical world had rejected the rule of reason, law and constitutional rights, the United States of America made history repeat itself and established, in the manner of late stage Rome, welfare as a universal human right. In the twenty first century, America has become a welfare state swampland which has submerged constitutionally guaranteed freedoms as it has rendered a nation conceived in freedom and conceived in the Age of Enlightenment unrecognizable.
America. Look at America in the twenty first century and see a nation in free fall, and see the terrifying reenactment of the free fall of Rome. It is a nation marked by massive, uncontrolled welfare spending whose targeted demographic is the scum of society. A nation legalizing state theft of private property in the name of Income Security Programs. A nation establishing a class of bloodsuckers, living off the wealth produced by others, in the name of Medicaid, Temporary Assistance to Needy Families, Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program and the Children’s Health Insurance Program. A nation whose multitrillion dollar federal spending is consumed by welfare. A nation whose population has chosen a life of welfare funded leisure over a life of honest work. A nation whose productive output is decreasing to a vanishing point. A nation giving people welfare incentives not to work and financial motivations to lead a animal and vegetable existence: "It is important to consider both the seen and unseen effects of welfare spending. Even if we assume that these programs are reaching targeted demographics, they come at a major cost. First is the cost to taxpayers. The CBO estimates that Income Security Programs (such as TANF [Temporary Assistance to Needy Families] and SNAP [Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program]), as well as Medicaid and the Children’s Health Insurance Program (CHIP) cost $1.05 trillion (65 percent of the $1.6 trillion federal budget deficit and 16 percent of the $6.5 trillion in annual federal spending).That is just over $8,000 per household or

$6,850 per taxpayer. In addition to these direct costs, welfare programs negatively impact economic growth. Economists explain why a person would choose welfare over work in terms of income and substitution effects. The income effect shows that as income increases, individuals tend to demand leisure over labor. The same holds true when applied to welfare transfers. As individuals choose to not work or decrease their labor to maintain welfare benefits, output decreases, reducing economic growth. On the other hand, the substitution effect (one's willingness to give up welfare in exchange for work) shows how welfare punishes work. Some economists argue that individuals who choose to leave welfare lose more value in benefits than they gain from increased income, creating an incentive not to work." (*The Work Vs Welfare Trade off Revisited* by Thomas Savidge). **America.** America in the twenty first century is a nation marked by steadily contracting output, work and labor participation, which is matched by steadily increasing welfare transfers: "The Civilian Labor Force Participation rate for Americans aged 25-54 has steadily declined since the early 2000s and just returned to prepandemic levels in February 2023. As economic output is reduced, the annual growth rate of the US economy decreases, resulting in greater future poverty. In addition, as welfare spending is funded by income taxes and the federal government issuing debt, capital is diverted away from the private sector to fund public spending, leaving less available for economic growth." (*The Work Vs Welfare Trade off Revisited* by Thomas Savidge). **America.** America in the twenty first century is a nation whose inhabitants are professional welfare recipients and leech off multiple welfare programs at one and the same time. A nation of shirkers, avoiding work and responsibility, inhabiting households of shame: "As of the most recent Census data available, the US Census Bureau found that 99.1 million people (30 percent of the US population) participated in at least one welfare program (although they did not cover all welfare programs). Among households, the Census Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP) finds that 75.3 million households (56 percent) were enrolled in 'any benefit/program,' while 21.5 million households (16 percent) were enrolled in three or more programs. Many participants are enrolled in multiple programs. A 2023 study from the US Government's Office of Human Services Policy found that 54 percent of welfare recipients in 2019 participated in multiple programs. A companion study found that in 2019 TANF and Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF) recipients were the most likely to receive multiple benefits." (*The Work Vs Welfare Trade off Revisited* by Thomas Savidge). **America.** America in the twenty first century is a nation legalizing state theft of private property in the name of providing health care to the poor. A nation legalizing theft in the name of supporting low income children, pregnant women, parents of dependent children, the elderly and people with disabilities. A nation supported by endlessly expanding Medicaid programs and free lunches, shamelessly consumed by able bodied adults without dependents: "Medicaid, Title IX of the Social Security Act, is a joint federal-state program that finances health care to the poor. Traditional Medicaid eligibility is limited to low income children, pregnant women, parents of dependent children, the elderly, and people with disabilities. In this program, states are guaranteed federal matching dollars without a cap for qualified services, based on a formula that matches at least 50 percent of state spending. This matching rate increases as state percapita income decreases. Looking ahead at FY 2025, the federal matching for state funds is expected to range from 50 percent to nearly 75 percent. Under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA), states had the option to expand Medicaid to nonelderly adults with income up to 133 percent of the Federal Poverty Level. When states were initially allowed to expand Medicaid starting January 1, 2014, the federal government promised to cover 100 percent of Medicaid Expansion as an incentive for states to expand Medicaid. With this promise of a free lunch, many states rushed to expand Medicaid, and Medicaid enrollment increased. Some of the largest increases came from the newly qualified able bodied adults without dependents." (*The Work Vs Welfare Trade off Revisited* by Thomas Savidge). **America.** America in the twenty first century is a nation transferring welfare at the speed of light through electronic debit cards.

A nation transfererring welfare through Thrifty Food Plans. A nation transferring welfare through an American Rescue Plan. A nation transferring wealth through pandemic benefit food assistance programs. A nation marked by everincreasing welfare program participation and everdecreasing desires to work and produce: "The Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) provides financial assistance to low income families for purchasing food. Formerly known as food stamps, the program was renamed in 2008 when paper vouchers were replaced by electronic debit cards. SNAP is fully funded by the federal government, and benefits are consistent across the country, with some exceptions. Benefits are designed so that eligible families do not spend more than 30 percent of their net income on a food package that meets the Agriculture Department's Thrifty Food Plan, adjusted for household size and inflation. Since the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, several significant changes have been made to SNAP to address the increased demand for food assistance, including a 15 percent increase in SNAP benefits from January 2021 through September 2021. Additionally, the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 provided further funding to enhance SNAP benefits and extend the Pandemic Electronic Benefit Transfer (P-EBT) program, which helps families with children who would have received free or reduced price meals if schools were open. Many states also temporarily waived certain eligibility requirements to ensure more families could access assistance during the crisis. SNAP benefits were permanently raised starting in October 2021. Predictably, this led to an increase in SNAP participation." (*The Work Vs Welfare Trade off Revisited* by Thomas Savidge). **America and Rome.** In late stage Rome, it was called grain doles, bread doles, wine doles, pork doles and public games doles. In late stage America, the names are numerous and polysyllabic: Medicaid, Medicare, Social Security, Affordable Care Act, Temporary Assistance for Needy Families, Earned Income Tax Credit, the Child Care & Development Fund, Housing Choice Voucher Program, Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program, Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program, Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children, Emergency Food Assistance Program, Lifeline Program for Low Income Consumers, and Affordable Connectivity Program. Spelled a thousand different ways and under a thousand different names, the regime of legalized theft, parasitism and nonresponsibility was the death of Rome and is the death of America.

REDS BURN DOWN THE CAMPUS

For the information of the party and state offices. The Advanced School is one day to become the central location of national socialist research, doctrine and education. Its construction will take place after the war. However, to encourage the preparatory work that has been begun, I decree that Reichsleiter Alfred Rosenberg shall continue this preparatory work—particularly in the area of research and creation of the library. The offices of the party and the state are mandated to render him every support and assistance in this work. Adolf Hitler. Berlin, January 29, 1940. The rejection of liberal education. The sacrifice of truth on the altar of ideological control. The condemnation of the Enlightenment as a regime of Jewish capitalism and bourgeois philosophy. The destruction of universities by the sledge hammers of religion has been a recurring tragedy in the history of Western civilization. Absent in Greco-Roman culture which exalted the rule of reason and worshiped the god Apollo, symbol of light, beauty, perfection and the sun, the religious control over education commenced in Europe during the Dark Ages. The control of the mind by the Christian Church during this inglorious era would be followed by invasions of successor religions in modern times. While the Third Reich placed universities under the control of national socialism and race politics, the Communist Bloc placed universities under the control of Marxism and class politics. Totalitarian movements of all stripes and varieties are united in their opposition to the empirical methods of science and their desire to ban dissent and enforce beliefs ungrounded in experience. In the twenty first century,

the totalitarians are alive and well in universities throughout the West and are reenacting the crimes of the past. Such is the course and destiny of the nonApollonian West, reason in a constant struggle for survival against religion, and in the late stage of the culture, religion is celebrating victory. In the twenty first century, a Marxist monster marked *gender, race and class politics* has assumed control over universities and festooned red stars, brownshirts, hammer and sickles and swastikas in every office, library and lecture hall on campus. Listen to its manifesto and hear the death bell toll for the cause of higher education in the West. It proclaims that a progressive university rejects the body of empirical knowledge and instills Marxist ideological beliefs in students. It requires students to mimic Marxist speech and repeat the words of their Marxist professors. It condemns liberal ideas as capitalist misinformation. It indoctrinates students to accept their professors' Marxist party line without questions or criticisms of any kind. It teaches students that criticisms of Marxist are forms of racism, sexism and harassment. It seeks to dye students in the red ink of world revolution. It teaches students that merit based selection procedures lead to unjust inequality and forms of discrimination against blacks. It teaches students that capitalism is a regime of white racist colonialism. It teaches students that capitalism is a regime of planetary destruction at the hands of white, Western, capitalist imperialists. It teaches students the primacy of mystical, tribal, social justice over natural, rational, individual justice. It advocates the dismantling of modern technological civilization in the name of radical environmentalism. It advocates communism in the name of equality, racial harmony and human rights. It teaches students that collectivist ideas are the only correct ideas, while ideas of freedom are sources of evil and injustice. It advocates communism in the name of popular liberation. It advocates communism in the name of black power. It advocates communism in the name of radical feminism. It advocates communism in the name of gay liberation. It advocates the dismantling of technological civilization in the name of preserving and protecting native Indian lands. It teaches students the primacy of leftist ideologies over the humanities and natural sciences. It teaches students the tenets of critical theory, which criticize Enlightenment reason, truth and objectivity from the point of view of gender, race and class ideologies, advancing the interests of women, nonwhites and the poor. It teaches students Marxist feminist theory. It teaches students Marxist determinism, reductivism, materialism and denial of free will and human agency in place of common sense and ordinary experience. It teaches students Marxist esthetics. It teaches students the primacy of mental interiority over the perception of reality. It teaches students to act recklessly and irresponsibly in the name of human authenticity. It teaches students to emulate Angela Davis and lead a life of communist activism and communist terror. It advocates the disamantling of modern technological civilization in the name of climate action. It teaches antitechnological and antiindustrial propaganda in the form of climate storytelling. It teaches Marxist politicized courses and no other types of courses. It condemns Western literary classics, such as *The Arabian Nights*, as voices of Western, bourgeois, colonial racism. It transmits Marxist complaints against liberal freedom in place of the transmission of truth and knowledge. It condemns capitalism as a regime of white power and privilege. It condemns the scientific method in the name of race and gender politics. It teaches Marxist black popular music and the songs of Marxist world destruction: "Authors Adam Kissel (with the Heritage Foundation), Madison Marino Doan (also with the Heritage Foundation), and Rachel Alexander Cambre (on the faculty at Belmont Abbey College) examine the undergraduate offerings at each of the Ivy League schools and conclude that it is easy for students to coast through to their degrees without having to do much demanding work. Students accumulate many of their credits in soft and fluffy courses (such as pop culture studies) or ones that are meant to impart ideological beliefs—courses that don’t entail the study of any body of knowledge but merely require students to parrot back the 'correct' opinions. In short, graduating with an Ivy League degree does not guarantee that a student has received a superior education, or even anything approaching a respectable one. Students may leave their illustrious schools with little improvement in knowledge and skills from

their high school days, while having acquired a lot of misinformation—all at very high cost. The book has eight chapters, one for each of the Ivy League schools. That makes it easy for prospective students to make good curricular choices at the school they're attending. But rather than review *Slacking* school by school, I will focus on the three main weaknesses the authors identify: writing courses that don't so much teach students to write as indoctrinate them with the professor's beliefs; easy courses that students can substitute for rigorous ones, especially in math and science; and courses that give students credit for studying pop culture topics or blatantly politicized material. Let's begin with writing. Writing courses used to be about fine tuning students' ability to craft good sentences, paragraphs and essays. Professors would assign papers based on literature, then grade them sharply for errors in English usage. Over the last several decades, that has changed dramatically. First, few professors want to take the time to critique student papers, which can lead to complaints against them for racism, harassment and so on. And instead of having students read and then write about fabled novels, many professors turn to topics meant to saturate students with their political views. Consider this writing course at Cornell: 'Educational Inequalities and Reform Efforts in the US.' There certainly are educational inequalities in the US, but the course is geared toward instilling a 'progressive' mindset about education reform rather than about ensuring that students learn to write well. Or how about this writing course at Yale: 'Black and Indigenous Ecologies,' in which students 'engage with anticolonial and antiracist attempts to imagine the earth no longer made in the ecocidal image of imperialist Western man.' Students will have to read and discuss a lot of radical environmental material, but will the course improve their writing skills? That's very doubtful. At the University of Pennsylvania, students have to take a course on quantitative analysis. Many of the choices for that are sound, but this one is attuned to social justice activism: 'Anthropology and Praxis,' which entails 'theory and analysis in the interest of change motivated by a commitment to social justice, racial harmony, equality and human rights.' Having the 'correct' beliefs will help a student get through the course, but having 'wrong' ones would probably be a great impediment." (*How Strong Is the Ivy League Curriculum?* by George Leef). In any society, universities are reflections of the state of society and its future course and direction. In Hitler's Germany, university professors, administrators and students unanimously supported national socialism and the voices of freedom were nonexistent in their ranks. They burned books and ignited torches in celebration of their brownshirt revolution. The politicization of German universities is described by Peter Drucker: "The new nazi commissar wasted no time on the amenities. He immediately announced that Jews would be forbidden to enter university premises and would be dismissed without salary on March 15. This was something that no one had thought possible despite the nazis' loud antisemitism. Then he launched into a tirade of abuse filth and four letter words such as had been heard rarely even in the barracks and never before in academia." (*Control Of Universities In Nazi Germany*, quoting Drucker). In the twenty first century, university professors, administrators and students throughout the West unanimously support Marxism and the voices of freedom are nonexistent in their ranks. They burn books and ignite torches in celebration of their redshirt revolution. The loudness, abuse, filth and four letter words have reappeared, disgracing campuses and targeting new victims. The final chapter of Western higher education has been written, entitled *Gender, Race and Class Politics*.

GERMANY'S MARXIST-MUSLIM PACT OF STEEL

Time: World War II. Place: Europe. German leader Adolf Hitler, Italian leader Benito Mussolini and Japanese Emperor Hirohito form the Axis Powers through the Tripartite Pact, signed in September 1940. Five other nations would soon join the pact: Bulgaria, Croatia, Hungary, Romania and Slovakia. Led by Germany, the six European Axis Powers participated in the Holocaust by directly killing Jews or transferring them into German custody. Less than a century after Hitler's Third Reich descended into

fields of ruination, a new Axis alliance has appeared and carried on the work of its predecessor to kill Jews and overthrow Western liberal institutions. This axis has two members and together they represent the sewers of the world, flowing with envy, hatred, grievances and discontent. They represent the number one mass religions in the world today and they have declared war on the Enlightenment, and brought the West to the end of its line. This axis is comprised of Marxists and Muslims, comprised of two religionists, one worshiping at the altar of Gender, Race and Class tribes on earth, and the other worshiping at the altar of Allah in Heaven, who have brought down the apocalypse after one thousand years of a civilization which commenced with the Christian Dark Ages. In the twenty first century, the Dark Ages have returned to the West, brought by the latest avatars of mysticism. Succeeding Christianity, the mystics of Marxist social tribes and the mystics of Allah have joined forces, confronted their common enemy called liberal democracy, and spread violence in the streets. In the twenty first century, in the nation which brought together the first Axis Powers during World War II, justice has decreed a death sentence, and the new Axis Powers have ravaged every square centimeter. The mass destruction being visited on Germany today by Hitler's successors is a portrait of the free fall of a nation, a continent and one thousand years of civilization. The German people have voted for this; their cultural and political establishment has enabled it and given it its seal of approval; and the people are harvesting the bitter fruit of their far left politics which first placed a neoMarxist like Hitler in power, and has placed his Marxist and Muslim successors in the chancellor's office, the parliament, the courts, the mass media and the schools. **Germany Today.** Look at Germany today and see Islamic extremists engulf the nation in terror. See Muslin imports lord over neighborhoods and hang fear from every lamp post. See an Islamic global terror network assume control. See Muslim radicalization render the nation unrecognizable. See unrestricted Muslim migration enabled by zero border controls and zero security measures. See a nation refuse to deport foreign nationals committing acts of violence in the name of Islam. See those who condemn left wing extremism and Muslim extremism labeled as right wing extremists and banished to outer darkness: "Nearly 99% of serious terrorism cases in Germany during the first half of 2025 involved foreign nationals or Islamist extremists, according to newly released federal data. Of the 146 proceedings launched by the Federal Prosecutor General between January and June, 84 were linked to Islamist terrorism and 60 to other forms of foreign related extremism. The figures—published in response to a parliamentary inquiry—raise questions about Germany’s current security priorities and whether public debate is focused on the most pressing threats. The Federal Prosecutor General’s office, which handles serious national security offenses including terrorism and espionage, released the figures in response to a parliamentary inquiry. The data reflect ongoing challenges associated with global extremist networks and highlights the complexity of ensuring internal security in a diverse and open society. Member of Parliament Martin Hess, of the right wing AfD, said the figures should serve as a wake up call, urging a more honest and balanced public debate on extremism. He argued that the data clearly point to the growing threat of imported radicalization and called for it to be taken far more seriously. He also called for stricter migration and security measures, including enhanced deportation policies for foreign nationals involved in extremist activity. While public and political debate in Germany often centers on the threat of right wing extremism, these findings show where the real problem lies. The figures also show a notable increase in cases compared to the same period in 2024, reflecting ongoing security challenges both domestically and internationally." (*99% Of Terror Cases In Germany Linked To Foreign Or Islamist Extremists* by Eszter Balogi). **Germany Today.** Look at Germany today and see the Muslim enemies of the West made citizens of the nation by the hundreds of thousands, in numbers surpassing all previous levels. See the federal government give passports to the Syrian and Turkish faces of subversion. See mass naturalizations of Muslim darkness. See a socalled traffic light coalition, a coalition in reality shining red-red-red or communist-communist-communist, grant citizenship to Muslim fifth columnists in the

name of immigration reform. See Muslim refugees allowed to settle and construct a branch office of their global movement of revolution. See the naturalization of Allah's foot soldiers turbocharged to the speed of light. See the creation of a new demographic overshadowing and submerging all other demographics, killing and destroying under the banners of the Red Crescent. See every city in the nation, from Hamburg to Mannheim to Solingen to Magdeburg to Aschaffenberg to Munich, surrounded, invaded, cut off, forced to surrender and placed in the grip of fear by the torch bearers of Muslim world conflagration: "The German government handed out a record number of citizenships to immigrants last year, rising to nearly a quarter of a million passports, with Syrian and Turkish nationals representing the largest cohorts. According to data collected by 13 of 16 federal states in Germany and provided to the *Welt Am Sonntag* newspaper, 249,901 foreigners were granted citizenship in 2024, the highest number since records began in 2000. This number surpassed the previous record set in 2023, when 200,095 people were awarded citizenship. Last year's record naturalization number is likely much higher, given that the states of Lower Saxony, Saxony-Anhalt, and Schleswig-Holstein did not provide naturalization records, with data set for release in the coming weeks. Once again, Syrians and Turks were the main ethnic groups recorded as obtaining German citizenship. General Manager of the German Association of Cities Helmut Dedy told *Welt* that the significant increase in the number of citizenships handed out was a result of the immigration reforms implemented by the previous 'traffic light' coalition government of exChancellor Olaf Scholz last year, which reduced the number of years spent in the country to become eligible down from eight to five years. 'Many people who came to us during the major refugee movements in 2015 and 2016 are now submitting applications or have already done so,' Dedy added. While successive German governments have claimed that they need migrants to fill jobs, a recent study from the Federal Employment Agency (BA) found that 33 per cent of all long term unemployed last year were migrants, with 317,000 out of the 972,000 being foreigners. The true figure is likely much higher, as the study did not include migrants who obtained German citizenship. Still, it represented a significant increase, with around 187,000 long-term unemployed being foreign in 2018. The mass migration agenda imposed upon Germany by successive globalist governments in Berlin has radically transformed the country's demographic makeup. According to Destatis, the proportion of people with a 'migration background' (either migrants or people with at least one migrant parent) represented over 30 per cent of the population, or over 25 million people. The issue of migration has become one of the top issues according to Germans, particularly in the wake of several high profile terror attacks over the past year, suspected of being committed by migrants, including in Mannheim, Solingen, Magdeburg, Aschaffenberg and Munich." (*Germany Sees Record Number Of Migrants Granted Citizenship, Syrians Top the List* by Kurt Zindulka). **Germany Today.** The first Axis against freedom in the West was led by Germany and saw a fascist race war waged against liberal democracy. In addition, Hitler reached out to Muslim leaders in the Middle East and recruited them to his cause. A national socialist-Muslim Axis was formed to advance the Holocaust and smash British liberal order in the Middle East. Emerging from the fever swamps of antiEnlightenment, an Axis of Marxist *Quran* readers, Muslim *Communist Manifesto* readers, Marxist-Muslim asylum seekers, knife wielders, Jew killers and assassins of civilization has placed Germany under attack in the twenty first century: "An asylum seeker from Syria is reportedly suspected of stabbing a Spanish tourist near the Holocaust memorial in Berlin, Germany, on Friday evening. According to Berlin police, the suspected attacker had a history of antisemitism and 'according to current knowledge, the plan to kill Jews has been developing in him for a few weeks.' In addition to being arrested with a knife, the 19 year old Syrian asylum seeker was also found with a *Quran*, a piece of paper with verses from the Islamic text, and a prayer rug in his backpack, suggesting a potential religious motive for the attack, police revealed in a statement. He is said to have come to Germany in 2023 as a refugee and was later granted asylum in the country." (*Plan To Kill Jews'—Syrian Asylum Seeker Arrested After Tourist Stabbed Near Berlin*

Holocaust Memorial by Kurt Zindulka). Marching under the same red color, the armies of Marxism and Islam have painted a portrait of the West in the twenty first century, a portrait dripping with blood.

MUSLIMS BOMB VIENNA

Its music echoed through the heavens and filled the universe with the sounds of beauty. Radiated by Beethoven, Brahms, Bruckner, Haydn, Mahler, Mozart, Schoenberg, Schubert, Johann Strauss II and Johan Strauss II, its melodies propelled it into the top ranks of Western cultural centers, and made it a World Heritage Site. Its theme was form and perfection and its roaring symphonies carried the theme down its Danube River, into its Baroque palaces and gardens, and around its fabled Ring Street, lined with the grandest buildings, monuments and parks. This capital city, this most populous metropolitan area in the nation, representing one third of the nation's population, this cultural, economic and political center of Austria, is called Vienna, and in the twenty first century, it is under attack. This world renowned city has seen invasions before. The 1529 Seige of Vienna saw the Ottoman Empire of Islam attack the city with 100,000 soldiers. The 1683 Battle of Vienna saw the Ottomans return with 200,000 soldiers. From 1919 to 1934, the city was the nation's center of communism and was known as Red Vienna, built the *Karl Marx Hof* in its nineteenth district, and founded the school of Austromarxism. On March 15, 1938, 200,000 people cheered Adolf Hitler at *Heldenplatz*, where he announced in a speech from the balcony of the *Neue Burg* that Austria would be absorbed into the Third Reich and would cease to exist. Each of these attacks would be repulsed and the city would be restored to its former glory. In the twenty first century, the city has been attacked and laid waste to in a war from which it will never recover. It has been attacked again by Islam, but this time around, the attackers will not be dislodged because they have been let into the city and given aid and comfort by another antiliberal force in control of the city's cultural and political establishment. In the twenty first century, the City of Music is overflowing with sewage water brought by Marxism within and Islam without, and does not stand a chance to assume its former station. In the twenty first century, in the wake of an attack by traitors in its midst and Eastern armies of conquest, nothing is left of Vienna beyond its name. In the twenty first century, Vienna has been reduced to a shadowland and is a portrait of Austria, Europe, America and the West in the age of civilizational collapse. **Vienna Today.** Vienna today lives beneath the iron heel of the Islamic faith. Its Muslims resist the call to integrate to Western liberal values. Its schools are terrorized by Allah's Red Guards. It has opened its gates to Islam with keys stamped *moral relativism* and *multiculturalism.* It has opened its gates to Islam in the name of religious and cultural diversity. It sanctions Muslim hatred of women, nonMuslims and the Western Enlightenment. It has opened its gates to Muslim fundamentalists peddling fear and tyranny in every quarter of the city. It has opened its gates to Muslim youth exuding religious hatred and fanaticism from every pore of their being. It has opened its gates to the votaries of Allah who have no idea how to live in a free society. It has opened its gates to the Prophet's students who reject the liberal ideas of human freedom and dignity, tolerance of dissent, mutual respect and peaceful coexistence. It has opened its gates to the Muslim enemies of rational morality and scientific understanding. It has opened its gates to the Muslim worshipers of the mystical World Cavern rejecting Western liberal education. It has opened its gates to the Muslim worshipers of God in Heaven rejecting Western cohesion and seeking the disintegration of secular society: "New figures from the City of Vienna show that children of Islamic faith now make up the largest single religious group in the city’s primary and secondary schools, accounting for 41.2 percent of all pupils. It’s a slight but noticeable increase from 39.4 percent last year--and it’s already fuelling new discussions about diversity, integration and how schools can help shape shared values in an increasingly pluralistic city. The data, released by the office of Vienna’s City Councilor for Education Bettina Emmerling (Neos), covers around 112,600 children across

primary, secondary, special education and polytechnic schools. The numbers reflect how Vienna's student population has been changing over time. While the proportion of Muslim students has grown, Christian faiths now account for a combined 34.5 percent, including 17.5 percent Roman Catholic and 14.5 percent Orthodox. A further 23 percent of pupils declare no religious affiliation at all. Smaller groups include Buddhists (0.2 percent), Jews (0.1 percent), and other faiths (0.9 percent). While Vienna's multicultural makeup is hardly new, the pace and visibility of these shifts are sparking debate. Councillor Emmerling has welcomed Vienna's religious and cultural diversity, saying that the city encourages intensive dialogue between faith communities. But she also said that certain worrying trends--especially among Muslim youth--can't be ignored. 'In Vienna, no one should base their way of life on the fundamentalist interpretation of religious texts that are hostile to women, minorities, the state or democracy,' Emmerling told APA, as reported by *Der Standard*. Recent studies, she added, have shown that young Muslims in Vienna tend to be not only more religious on average but also more likely to express discriminatory or exclusionary views. That includes antisemitic sentiments and resistance to gender equality. To counter these trends, Emmerling is pushing for a compulsory school subject called *Living In A Democracy*, which would be taught to all children starting in primary school. The goal is to create a shared foundation for learning about human dignity, democratic values, and respectful coexistence, regardless of a child's religion or background. 'Democracy, values and ethics must be taught on a common basis,' she said, welcoming plans already presented by Neos education minister Christoph Wiederkehr to bring such a subject into the national curriculum. According to Emmerling, children are most receptive to learning about diversity, inclusion and mutual respect in the early years of school. So, this is when teaching those values can make the biggest impact. Austria and elsewhere in Europe: how to respect religious freedom while making sure young people are prepared to live in diverse democratic societies. Vienna's model of religious education has long included different denominational options, but increasingly, politicians are calling for more spaces where students of all backgrounds learn together about what democracy means in practice. Emmerling's proposal echoes similar debates in Austria's federal politics, where questions about integration, social cohesion and education have become hot button issues in the run up to elections." (*Muslim Pupils Now Largest Group In Vienna Schools As City Debates Civic Education* by Amanda Previdelli). **Vienna Today.** Vienna today hosts a Muslim city within the city, an enemy city whose structures and institutions have declared war on the host. It hosts Islamic kindergartens to train young killers, aged two to six, in the service of the faith. It hosts Muslim preschools to enable children to recite from the Quran, chapter and verse, as they wield guns and knives at the city. It hosts Muslim purists and revivalists who seek to crush liberal society between the covers of Satan's bible, the words of Allah revealed to the Prophet. It hosts an alien army shouting the slogans of the faithful within its sealed capsule and echo chamber. It hosts an antifreedom party and movement allowed to settle in the name of multiculturalism. It hosts Muslim settings seeking to subvert the city. It hosts Muslim education centers brainwashing students in the politics of Islam and the tenets of the faith. It hosts a Muslim underworld, vast and spreading, operating under the radar screens of law and order. It hosts Muslim aliens and foreigners, forming an empire of mass murder, dedicated to the destruction of a Western crown jewel called Vienna: "A debate is raging in Austria after a study suggested that Islamic kindergartens in Vienna were helping to create parallel societies or even produce the dangerous homegrown radicals of the future. According to its author, Ednan Aslan, a Turkish born Austrian professor at Vienna University, some 10,000 children aged two to six attend around 150 Muslim preschools, teaching the Koran much like Christian ones do with Bible studies. At least a quarter are backed by groups propagating arch conservative strains of Islam like Salafism, or organizations that see religion not just as a private matter but integral to politics and society, Aslan believes. 'Parents are sending their kids to establishments that ensure they are in a Muslim setting and learn a few suras (chapters from the Koran),' Aslan, a respected researcher into

Islamic education, told AFP. 'But they are unaware that they are shutting them off from a multicultural society,' he said. The study, published last year, has been jumped on by critics of immigration--not least the far right Freedom Party--in the wake of attacks such as Paris and Brussels perpetrated by Muslims who grew up in Europe. The magazine *Biber*, which writes for and about minorities, sent a veiled Muslim reporter undercover posing as a mother looking for a place for her son at 14 Muslim kindergartens. Around a third were according to the magazine 'problematic,' 'cutting off or isolating children' from mainstream society. It also voiced concerns about the 'openness' of some staff and the level of German spoken. Vienna City Hall has since sought to calm the situation by commissioning an in depth study involving a six strong research team which will be published later this year. But the first problem is establishing how many Islamic kindergartens there are. Vienna has 842 registered kindergartens, 100 of them Catholic run and 13 Protestant, but the number of Muslim ones is not known. Part of the reason is that there has been an explosion in the number that are privately run, stretching the ability of the authorities to keep tabs and allowing some to operate under the radar." (*Austria's Islamic Kindergartens Help Create 'Parallel Societies': Study*, AFP, April 16, 2017). **Vienna Today.** From September 4, 1942 to April 16, 1945, Vienna was destroyed from the air, bombed 52 times by the Allies. The raids destroyed 37,000 homes, 20 percent of the city's stock, 99 percent of the city's motor vehicles, and blasted out 3000 bomb craters. The raids were successful and the city was cleared of national socialism. In the twenty first century, the city is under attack again but this time the bombers have taken off from airfields inside the city. They cannot be shot down because the Marxists in charge of the city have sent them. Dropping bombs mark *Islam*, they have the cleared the city of civilization.

BRITAIN VANISHES

It was known as the Workshop of the World. Its system of freedom created the Industrial Revolution, developing new technologies, manufacturing processes and mass production. Its system of freedom created an unprecedented industrial output, its factories producing goods exported to the distant reaches of the planet. Its system of freedom made it the world's dominant economic power, ruling international trade and finance. It was known as the World's Policeman. Its powerful navy and vast empire tamed savage continents, educated natives who had never seen education, overthrew oppressive regimes and protected the cause of freedom throughout the world. This was Britain in the eighteenth, nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, and this is Britain no more. The descent began in the early twentieth century with the rise of the welfare state and the process of decolonization. The former Workshop of the World and World's Policeman surrendered freedom at home and abroad and reduced itself to a state of morally and economic bankruptcy. In the twenty first century, Britain exists in name only and is a portrait of an island, a continent, a region, a hemisphere and the West which have rejected the legacies of the Enlightenment and banished freedom fpr all time. Britain was a leader of the Enlightenment and the founder of freedom in the West, but in the twenty first century it has become a shadowland presided over by the number one mass gutter religion of our time. In the twenty first century, Britain has composed a tragedy of world historical proportions and become an East German watchtower for Marxism and all its gender, race and class manifestations. **Freedom Repealed.** Look at Brtain today and see endless, massive welfare spending, tax increases, and government deficits and debts. See the productive sectors of society flee from the nation and flee for their lives. See a financial picture blackened by rampant welfare statism and confiscatory taxation. See capital outflows drain the nation of money and wealth. See the blazing fires of political extremism vaporize the liberal democratic center. See a regulatory, welfare state regime become entrenched and irremoveable because implanted by the will of the people. See a nation strangled by spiraling debts, bankrupted by vanishing tax receipts, and emptied by an exodus of honest, hard working citizens refusing to support legalized

welfare parasitism: "The United Kingdom is in a doom loop forced by unsustainable government spending creating a downward spiral of tax hikes pushing productive taxpayers abroad, leading either to market turmoil or historically difficult choices. Ray Dalio, one of the world's top hedge funders, picked out the United Kingdom as an example of a particularly acute case of government deficit and debt risk, warning in general terms that the risk of imminent turmoil hasn't yet been internalised by bond and currency markets but that now 'those signals are now beginning to flash or flicker.' The UK's financial picture 'certainly isn't good.', and the country is in a 'debt doom loop,' Dalio said, talking to the Master Investor Podcast. He explained: 'The debt doom loop is also affecting capital flows, so the necessity for creating taxation that is then driving people away, they move for capital reasons.' The worsening of both 'financial problems and the social problems' exacerbates this image, he said, stating they were causing 'people with money to leave,' further hollowing out the tax base that the government has left to draw upon as some of the most productive in society feel their labor will be more valued elsewhere. Comparing the UK and US tax base, Dalio continued: 'That's a problem because 75% of income taxes are paid by the top ten per cent, so if you lose five per cent of the population, half of those people, you lose 35 per cent or more of the tax revenue that comes as a result of that. You have this financial deterioration, precedes social and economic deterioration.' As with so many issues facing the United Kingdom, answers are apparent to outsiders but are essentially politically impossible to achieve as they are so far outside the paradigm. Indeed, Dalio compared the struggle to fix systemic issues to avert a disaster to the joint effort between the United States and the United Kingdom in the Second World War. He said: 'first of all both of our countries need a the leadership of a strong middle. Strong meaning they are going to have to do the difficult things that are going to have to be done to deal with this problems. Difficult choices are going to have to be made, like our countries had in World War Two, in order to get through that together.' Britain's *Daily Telegraph* greeted the remarks by the top hedge funder as a 'stark warning' for the UK government and its beleaguered Chancellor (finance minister) Rachel Reeves, who has spent her year in office facing persistent speculation that she isn't cut out for the job, nor capable of taking tough decisions as debt spirals and tax receipts dwindle. There have been several news cycles recently about flight of taxpayers from the United Kingdom, from squeezed middle classes to the very mobile ultrarich choosing to relocate." (*UK Is In A 'Debt Doom Loop' And Pushing Away Its Own Taxpayers Warns Top Hedge Fund Boss* by Oliver JJ Lane). **Freedom Repealed.** Look at Brtain today and see a Marxist Labor-Conservative uniparty in charge wielding the black hand of censorship. See freedom of speech abolished in the name of protecting online safety. See the advocates of free speech condemned as pedophiles. See criticisms of Marxism outlawed on the grounds that they stand for hate and violence. See freedom of speech abolished in the name of preventing children from viewing pornography. See antiMarxist political content outlawed on social media. See crticisms of unvetted mass migration of Muslims outlawed on social media. See the advocates of free speech condemned as the allies of sex predators. See antigovernment speech outlawed. See criticisms of Marxism, Islam, terror, illegal immigration, Islamic people smuggling, and gender, race and class politics outlawed on the grounds that they are incitements to public disorder and racial and religious violence. See the establishment of a Marxist Dicastery for the Doctrine of the Faith, a body called the Office of Communications, whose jackboots enforce political correctness and the party line across the worlds of broadcasting, internet and telecommunications, and have constructed a barbed wire fence and death strip around the human conscience: "The leftist Labor Party government's technology minister has accused those in favor of repealing the censorious Online Safety Act, such as Reform UK leader Nigel Farage, as being 'on the side' of pedophiles and 'extreme pornographers.' Secretary of State for Technology Peter Kyle has drawn strong rebuke for risible comments likening free speech proponents to child sex predators such as former BBC host Jimmy Savile, after Nigel Farage's Reform UK party vowed to repeal the Online Safety Act after the next election. Appearing on Sky News on Tuesday, the

Labor minister said: 'I see that Nigel Farage is already saying that he's going to overturn these laws. So you know, we have people out there who are extreme pornographers, peddling hate, peddling violence. Nigel Farage is on their side. Make no mistake about it, if people like Jimmy Savile were alive today, he'd be perpetrating his crimes online. And Nigel Farage is saying that he's on their side.' Mr Kyle went on to say that he has seen no evidence that the censorship law 'goes too far' in policing speech on the internet. While the law, which was passed by the previous Tory government was presented as a means of preventing children from seeing pornography or extreme content, it has already begun to stifle political content on social media. For example, footage of antimass migration protests have been 'restricted' in Britain on the X platform for those who have not verified their age with the Elon Musk owned company. The same has been done to a speech in the House of Commons by Conservative MP Katie Lam on the Pakistani Muslim child rape grooming gang scandal. Responding from Reform UK's new headquarters in London, leader Nigel Farage demanded an apology from the government minister for his 'disgusting' accusations. 'Well this is so absolutely disgusting that it's almost beyond belief. Just how low can the Labor Government sink in its desperation?' Farage questioned. 'Yes, of course they're in trouble. They're well behind us in the opinion polls. But frankly to say that I would do anything that would in any way aid and abet people like Jimmy Savile, it's so below the belt.' Meanwhile, the government's Independent Reviewer of Terrorism Legislation, Jonathan Hall KC, told LBC Radio on Tuesday that the law will do 'precious little' to prevent children from accessing extreme content. Reform spokesman Zia Yusuf questioned Mr Kyle whether this meant that Hall was also on 'on the side of predators.' Yusuf, a tech entrepreneur, has also claimed that the Act will do 'absolutely nothing to protect children' but will rather be used to quell dissent and 'force social media companies to censor antigovernment speech.' Under the law, UK broadcasting authority Ofcom has been empowered to levy fines of up to £18 million or 10 per cent of a social media platform's global turnover if they fail to restrict speech in Britain. In addition to demanding platforms police pornographic or otherwise extreme content, it also requires the restricting of content relating to 'racially or religiously aggravated public order offenses,' 'inciting violence,' or 'illegal immigration, and people smuggling.' " (*Farage, Opponents Of Censorship Law 'On the Side' Of Pedophiles, Claims UK Minister* by Kurt Zindulka). **Freedom Repealed.** For centuries the Workshop of the World and the World's Policeman was a shining jewel in the crown of the West. It radiated the light of freedom, capitalism, and international law and order. In the twenty first century, Britain no longer is noteworthy or admired in the eyes of civilized people. The crown jewel has been smashed with the sledgehammers of mob politics and disgraces a hallowed island.

MARXIST-MUSLIM AXIS RECOGNIZES PALESTINE

Time: September 27, 1940. Place: Tiergarten Street in the central district of Berlin. The facade of the Embassy of Japan is draped with three flags, representing the German Reich, the Kingdom of Italy and the Empire of Japan. On this day, the Tripartite Pact was signed by these three nations, formally creating the Axis Powers which had started World War II and dedicated themselves to the overthrow of Western liberal institutions. The Axis Powers fought two movements. They fought their rival brother Marxists, headquartered in the Soviet Union, accepting Marx's tribalist methods and view of history and differing from the Soviets in substituting international race war for international class war as their goal, and they fought their true opposites, the voices of Western freedom and Enlightenment, headquartered in the Allied nations of Britain and America. At its height in 1942, the Axis Powers ruled North Africa, East Asia and a large swathe of Europe, and imposed fascist race politics on their captive populations, through occupation, annexation and puppet states. Two decades after the Axis Powers were defeated, a new Axis alliance arose and carried on the campaign of its predecessor. This was an alliance between the two leading mass gutter religions in the world. In the mid twentieth century,

Marxists and Muslims the world over joined forces and sought to restart Hitler's gas chambers for the Jews, the West and liberal democratic institutions. In the mid twentieth century, Marxists of all stripes and varieties, from the Communist Bloc to the New Left, made common cause with Islam and launched a war against civilization. In the twenty first century, Western Marxists in charge of governments have joined with their Muslim comrades and recognized a state of Palestine. This new state will embody the ideology of the new Axis Powers and seek the destruction of Jews, Israel and Western liberal traditions. In nation after nation in the West, the Marxist cultural and political establishment has conferred legitimacy on a Muslim regime which, like the Marxists, views the Enlightenment as a mortal enemy. In the twenty first century, Marxist fifth columnists elected to high office throughout the West are providing guns, bombs and diplomatic cover to the armies of Islam based in Palestine which are terrorizing the world. The list of Western nations recognizing Palestine is a bill of treason against the far left mob politics which has pushed the legacies of the liberal Enlightenment into extinction. Look at the list and see the gravediggers of the West; they who are not distant enemies but the neighbors, the nations and the homegrown Marxists next door. **Britain.** Look at Britain today and see Labor Party cabinet members and backbenchers grant statehood to terror. See the free society of Israel condemned as a problem the antidote to which is a "two state solution" involving diplomatic recognition to a Muslim enemy of freedom. See it condemn the annexation of the West Bank by freedom, and see it grant statehood to antifreedom in the name of the peace process and the situation in Gaza. See an amorphous territory ruled by a Muslim terror gang called Hamas, devoid of liberal traditions and the rule of law, granted the status of a legitimate state and granted a blank check to destroy Israel: "Bending to pressure from within his leftist Labor Party, British Prime Minister Sir Keir Starmer said Tuesday that the United Kingdom plans to recognize Palestine as a state in September alongside France. After facing growing internal calls from the Labor backbenches and members of his own cabinet, Prime Minister Starmer said that the UK plans to officially recognize Palestinian statehood at the next meeting of the United Nations General Assembly in September unless Israel takes 'substantive' steps to improve the 'appalling' situation in Gaza, the BBC reports. Starmer said that he made the decision now because he was 'particularly concerned that the idea of a two state solution is reducing and feels further away today than it has for many years.' In a statement from Downing Street, the government said per *The Times of London:* 'He [Starmer] said that because of the increasingly intolerable situation in Gaza and the diminishing prospect of a peace process towards a two state solution, now was the right time to move this position forward. He said that the UK will recognize the state of Palestine in September, before UNGA, unless the Israeli government takes 'substantive' steps to end the appalling situation in Gaza, reaches a ceasefire, makes clear there will be no annexation in the West Bank, and commits to a long term peace process that delivers a two state solution.' The move comes amid mounting pressure from within Starmer's left wing Labor Party, with over 230 backbench MPs demanding the government recognize Palestine as a state, despite the territory having no defined borders or a democratically legitimate government after decades of the ruling Hamas terror group refusing to allow elections in Gaza." (*Bowing To The Left: British PM Starmer Says UK Plans To Recognize Palestine As A State, Unless Israel Takes 'Substantive' Measures In Gaza* by Kurt Zindulka). **France.** Look at France today and see it reward terror in the form of a state of Palestine. See it reward terror in the name of achieving a just and lasting peace in the Middle East. See it reward terror in the name of rebuilding Gaza. See it reward terror in the name of giving humanitarian aid and construction to Palestinians. See it reward terror in the name of protecting Palestinian civilian populations. See it reward terror in the name of international law. See it reward terror in the name of the Palestinian people's right to self determination. See it reward terror in the name of protecting security in the Middle East. See it reward those who seek to annihilate Jews and Israel, and send a letter to Palestinian Authority President Mahmoud Abbas celebrating the replacement of Israel and the West by global Islam: "France will officially recognize a

Palestinian state in September, President Emmanuel Macron has said, which will make it the first G7 nation to do so. In a post on X, Macron said the formal announcement would be made at a session of the UN General Assembly in New York. 'The urgent need today is for the war in Gaza to end and for the civilian population to be rescued. Peace is possible. We need an immediate ceasefire, the release of all hostages, and massive humanitarian aid to the people of Gaza,' he wrote. Palestinian officials welcomed Macron's decision, while Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu said the move 'rewards terror' following Hamas's 7 October 2023 attack in Israel. The US 'strongly rejects' Macron's announcement, Secretary of State Marco Rubio said, calling the decision 'reckless.' The G7 is a group of major industrialized nations, which alongside France includes the US, the UK, Italy, Germany, Canada and Japan. In his Thursday post on X, Macron wrote: 'True to its historic commitment to a just and lasting peace in the Middle East, I have decided that France will recognize the State of Palestine. We must also guarantee the demilitarization of Hamas, and secure and rebuild Gaza' 'Finally, we must build the State of Palestine, ensure its viability, and ensure that by accepting its demilitarization and fully recognizing Israel, it contributes to the security of all in the Middle East. There is no alternative.' Macron also attached a letter to Palestinian Authority President Mahmoud Abbas confirming his decision. Responding to Macron's announcement, Abbas' deputy Hussein al-Sheikh said, 'This position reflects France's commitment to international law and its support for the Palestinian people's rights to self determination and the establishment of our independent state,' according to the AFP news agency. Meanwhile, Netanyahu wrote in a post on X: 'We strongly condemn President Macron's decision to recognize a Palestinian state next to Tel Aviv in the wake of the 7 October massacre. A Palestinian state in these conditions would be a launch pad to annihilate Israel-- not to live in peace beside it. Let's be clear: the Palestinians do not seek a state alongside Israel; they seek a state instead of Israel.' " (*France Will Recognize Palestinian State, Macron Says* by Jaroslav Lukiv). **Germany.** Look at Germany today and see it reward terror in the name of achieving peace, security and dignity for Palestinians. See it reward aggression against Israel by granting statehood to the aggressors and installing them in the community of nations: "Palestinian statehood comes at the end of negotiations for a two state solution and that process can no longer be held in abeyance, Germany’s Foreign Minister Johann Wadephul said Thursday. 'A negotiated two state solution remains the only path that can offer people on both sides a life in peace, security, and dignity,' he said in a statement issued shortly before his trip to Israel and the Palestinian territories, as reported by Ynet News. 'For Germany, the recognition of a Palestinian state comes more at the end of that process. But such a process must begin now.' Wadephul’s remarks follow a string of announcements made by different countries on plans to recognize a Palestinian state and in doing so reward Hamas terrorists for their acts of aggression against Israel." (*German FM Says Berlin Demands Start Of Process To Recognize Palestinian State* by Simon Kent). **Canada.** Look at Canada today and see it reward the kidnappers and killers of Israelis in the name of achieving an independent, viable state of Palestine. See it reward Hamas crimes against humanity in the name of protecting peace and security in the Middle East: "Canadian Prime Minister Mark Carney announced Wednesday that he would join France and the UK in recognizing a Palestinian state at the United Nations General Assembly in September. The White House repeated that such a step would be a 'reward' for Hamas—and families of the 50 Israeli hostages still in captivity in Gaza condemned the rush to reward terrorists before they had freed those they had kidnapped. 'Canada has long been committed to a two state solution,' Carney said on X, referring to 'an independent, viable and sovereign Palestinian state living side by side with the State of Israel in peace and security.' " (*Mark Carney Says Canada For Palestinian State; Hostage Families Condemn* by Joel Pollak). **The New Axis.** Time: September 27, 1940. Place: Tokyo. Crowds are ecstatic over the signing of the Tripartise Pact, and fill the air with a forest of Japanese, German and Italian flags. Eighty years after the symbols of fascist power fluttered across Tokyo streets, a new axis is celebrating victory in its war against liberal law and order. It is a

red-red axis, a hammer and sickle and red crescent axis, an axis of Marxism and Islam, and its latest beachhead is Palestine.